arXiv:1604.04918v2 [math.NT] 22 Oct 2016

NEW REALIZATIONS OF MODULAR FORMS IN CALABI-YAU THREEFOLDS ARISING FROM ϕ^4 THEORY

ADAM LOGAN

Abstract. It has been found experimentally by Brown and Schnetz that the number of points over $\mathbb{F}_p$ of a graph hypersurface is often related to the coefficients of a modular form. In this paper we prove this relation for one example of a modular form of weight 4 and two of weight 3, refine the statement and suggest a method of proving it for four more of weight 4, and use the one proved example to construct two new rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds that realize Hecke eigenforms of weight 4 (one provably and one conjecturally).

1. Introduction

The bijective correspondence between rational Hecke eigenforms of weight 2 of minimal level N and elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ of conductor N up to isogeny is one of the central concepts in modern number theory. It has been generalized in many ways, for example by replacing elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ with elliptic curves over a totally real number field: the corresponding objects then appear to be Hilbert modular forms. In another direction, we might seek to increase the weight of the form and the dimension of the corresponding variety.

For odd k, the presence of -1 in $\Gamma_0(N)$ forces rational eigenforms to have an imaginary quadratic Nebentypus character of conductor dividing N and to be of CM type. Schütt showed [22] that rational newforms of CM type and weight k up to twist are in bijection with imaginary quadratic fields whose class group is killed by $k-1$. For $k=2$ this is essentially the classical fact that elliptic curves with complex multiplication up to twist correspond to imaginary quadratic fields of class number 1; for $k=3$ such fields have been studied for centuries in the guise of Euler's numeri idonei, which are the positive integers n for which the primes represented by a quadratic form of discriminant $-n$ are determined by congruence conditions. There is a list of such integers, found in [13], which is known to be complete if the generalized Riemann hypothesis is assumed; without this assumption, the list is complete with at most one exception.

Elkies and Schütt [13] then showed that, in the case $k=3$, every modular form f corresponding to a known imaginary quadratic field with class group killed by 2 is realized in a singular K3 surface S_f, in the sense that the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on its étale cohomology includes the representation associated to f. That is, the representation $\phi : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to GL_{22,\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$ on $H^2_{\text{ét}}(S_f, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ has a 2-dimensional component ρ_ℓ such that for all but finitely many p the relation $\operatorname{tr}\rho_\ell(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = a_p$ holds, where a_p is the eigenvalue of S_f for the Hecke operator T_p. Restricting ρ_ℓ to the

Date: October 25, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J32; 11F11, 11F23, 14E15, 81Q30.
Key words and phrases. Calabi-Yau varieties, modular forms, ϕ^4 theory.

complementary space gives a representation of finite image giving the Galois action on the Picard group of S_f twisted by the cyclotomic character. More concretely, the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points of S_f is equal to $p^2 + 1 + a_p + pn(p)$, where $n(p) \in \mathbb{Z}$ depends only on the decomposition of p in the field of definition of the Picard group, which is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 1.1. Let f be a newform with integral coefficients and V a smooth variety over $\mathbb{Q}$. If for all ℓ the ℓ-adic representation attached to f is a component of the ℓ-adic representation attached to $H^i_{\text{ét}}(V, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$, we say that V *realizes* f.

Thus the result of Elkies and Schütt shows that every integral newform of weight 3 is realized by a K3 surface with one possible exception. It is a very important question, asked by Mazur, van Straten, and others, whether an analogous result holds for weight > 3. To discuss this problem, we must introduce Calabi-Yau varieties.

Definition 1.2. A *Calabi-Yau variety* of dimension d is a smooth variety with trivial canonical class such that $h^{i,0} = 0$ for all $0 < i < d$.

Definition 1.3. A *singular Calabi-Yau variety* of dimension d is a variety V that is birationally equivalent to a smooth Calabi-Yau variety and is a limit of smooth Calabi-Yau varieties.

Example 1.4. Let $n > 1$ and let $c_1, \dots, c_{n-d}$ be positive integers adding to $n+1$. Then a sufficiently general intersection of hypersurfaces of degree $c_1, \dots, c_{n-d}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is a Calabi-Yau variety of dimension d. If such an intersection has only canonical singularities, it is a singular Calabi-Yau variety.

Definition 1.5. A smooth Calabi-Yau variety of dimension d for which $h^{d-1,1} = 0$ is said to be *rigid*. A singular Calabi-Yau variety of dimension d is rigid if it has a resolution of singularities which is a rigid Calabi-Yau variety.

This is because its complex structure has no nontrivial deformations. It is a standard abuse of language to refer to K3 surfaces over $\mathbb{C}$ of Picard number 20 as rigid; what is actually rigid is not the K3 surface itself, but the surface together with a basis for its Picard group.

In dimension $d > 3$ rigidity is not strong enough to derive the desired arithmetical consequences and one might propose the following definition to replace it:

Definition 1.6. A Calabi-Yau variety of dimension d is *arithmetically rigid* if either:

- d is odd and $h^{i,d-i} = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le d-1$; or
- d is even, $H^{d/2,d/2}$ is generated by the fundamental classes of subvarieties, and $h^{i,d-i} = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le d-1$ except for $i = d/2$.

The point of this definition is that an arithmetically rigid Calabi-Yau variety defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ is expected to realize a modular form of weight $d+1$. Rigidity is insufficient: for example, knowing that $H^{3,1} = 0$ for a Calabi-Yau fourfold gives no reason to think that $H^4_{\text{ét}}(V, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ splits as $(H^{4,0} + H^{0,4}) \oplus H^{2,2}$ as a Galois module. Without that, there is no 2-dimensional compatible system of Galois representations and no reason to expect a modular form of weight 5. In this paper we mostly consider Calabi-Yau varieties of dimension 3, for which rigidity and arithmetic rigidity coincide.

A considerable amount of work has been devoted to finding rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. It is known [14], [11] that the representation on H^3 of such a variety has the same semisimplification as the representation associated to an integral Hecke eigenform of weight 4. Meyer [19] gives a large number of examples of rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds and corresponding modular forms, but to the best of my knowledge the only general method of constructing a threefold starting from a modular form of weight 4 (as opposed to recognizing a modular form in a variety constructed without reference to it) is that of [7], which is applicable only to eigenforms of CM type coming from imaginary quadratic fields of class number 1 or 3.

It is not known whether there are finitely or infinitely many deformation families of Calabi-Yau threefolds. On the other hand, tables such as that of [19], Appendix C, make it plausible that there are infinitely many newforms of weight 4 with integer coefficients up to twist, although this may be quite difficult to prove (before the famous work of Wiles and Taylor-Wiles the analogous statement was apparently not known for weight 2). In any case, each family can contribute realizations of only finitely many newforms up to twist on rigid Calabi-Yaus, so if there are finitely many families and infinitely many newforms up to twist it is not possible for all of them to be realized.

Even if a Calabi-Yau threefold is not rigid, understanding the Galois action on its étale H^3 is still very interesting. In some cases the representation contains $H^{3,0} \oplus H^{0,3}$ as an irreducible component, and then there is a congruence $N_p \equiv a_p + 1$, where N_p is the number of points over $\mathbb{F}_p$ and a_p is the eigenvalue of T_p as before. In [19] the theory of this situation is treated in section 1.5.2, with examples passim. In other cases, as in [6], the representation is related to Hilbert modular forms of weight $(2, 4)$. In general one expects the representations to be related to some kind of automorphic form, although there may be no independent method of finding a basis for the appropriate space.

The main results of this paper are summarized in the following theorem:

Theorem 1.7. *There are rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ for which the representation on H^3 is the same as that associated to the modular forms labeled* 13/1, 78/4 *in* [19]*, Appendix C. If the tables of* [16] *are complete for cubic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified outside $\{2, 3, 5, 13, 23\}$, the same holds for the form* 390/5*.*

For the form of level 390, there was a known nonrigid Calabi-Yau threefold whose H^3 was conjectured to contain this representation; for the other two, even this was not available. The construction of the threefold realizing the newform of level 13 was inspired by mathematical work on the foundations of quantum field theory.

Definition 1.8. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ and edge set $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, and let $R = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let T be the set of spanning trees of G. As in [3], Section 1.1, define

$$\Psi_G = \sum_T \prod_{e_i \notin T} x_i.$$

Let X_G be the affine variety $\Psi_G = 0$; it is called the *graph hypersurface* of G. If $m \geq 3$ then the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points of X_G is a multiple of p^2, so we define $c_2(p) = c_2(G)_p$ to be this number divided by p^2 and reduced mod p.

If no restriction is placed on G, then X_G contains essentially arbitrary motives [1]. We now suppose that G is obtained by deleting a single vertex from a 4-regular graph, which places us in the setting known as ϕ^4-theory. In this context it had been suggested that the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points might be a polynomial in p. Brown and Schnetz refuted this by giving an example [3] in which $c_2(p) \equiv a_p \bmod p$ where the a_p are the eigenvalues for a Hecke eigenform of weight 3 and level 7. They did this by relating $c_2(p)$ to the number of points on an arithmetically rigid K3 surface. In later work [4], they found 16 Hecke eigenforms that appear to match the $c_2(p)$ for various graphs.

For the five graphs of [4], Figure 5 that numerically match a modular form of weight 4, we construct a threefold for which the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points is congruent to $c_2(p) \bmod p$. For the form of level 13, we will show that it is a rigid Calabi-Yau threefold and that the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points is indeed congruent mod p to the eigenvalue of the newform of weight 4 and level 13. In addition, by studying some threefolds that deform to this threefold, we construct two new rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds, one realizing the modular form of level 78, the other apparently that of level 390.

For each of the other four graphs, matching the forms of levels $5, 6, 7, 17$, I conjecture that the variety is a rigid Calabi-Yau threefold and give a formula that appears to count its $\mathbb{F}_p$-points. For level 7, no such threefold was known, even conjecturally. The forms $5, 6, 17$ are already known to be realized on rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds, but the construction here is completely different. In light of the Tate conjecture ([19], Conjecture 1.10), we expect ours to be in correspondence with the previous ones. However, we do not know how to exhibit such a correspondence. In addition, we will verify that the graphs labeled $(3, 8)$ and $(3, 12)$ in [4], Figure 5 correspond to singular K3 surfaces realizing modular forms of the indicated level.

It would not have been possible to write this paper without substantial electronic computation. The Magma system [2] was used.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Karen Yeats for giving an inspiring seminar that sparked my interest in this field of research and for encouraging me to pursue this project. I also thank Colin Ingalls and Owen Patashnick for their careful readings of an earlier draft of this paper and for many helpful conversations and references.

2. The five-invariant

In the introduction we associated a polynomial to a graph G and defined the variety associated to it. Unfortunately, the variety is difficult to work with because it is of large dimension. In this section we describe some of the constructions used by Brown, Schnetz, and Yeats [3], [4], [5] to obtain varieties of lower dimension for which the point count over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is the same mod p. In contrast to their work, we will regard our varieties as projective rather than affine.

First, we choose an arbitrary orientation of the edges of G. We then define a symmetric $(n + m) \times (n + m)$ matrix $\tilde{M}_G$ over $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ (recall that m, n are the numbers of vertices and edges of G) as in [3], Definition 8. That is, the upper left block is a diagonal matrix with entries x_i, the upper right and lower left blocks are the oriented incidence matrix and its transpose, and the lower right block is 0.

The sum of the last m rows of this matrix is 0, so we choose one arbitrarily and delete it as well as the corresponding column to obtain M_G. The determinant of M_G is $\pm\Psi_G$.

Definition 2.1. ([3], Definition 9) Let I, J, K be subsets of the set of edges with $|I| = |J|$. Let $M_G(I,J)_K$ be the matrix M_G with the rows corresponding to I and columns corresponding to J removed, and the variables associated to K set to 0. Let $\Psi_{G,K}^{I,J}$ be its determinant.

Definition 2.2. ([3], Definition 12) Let i, j, k, l, m be five distinct edges in G. The *five-invariant* ${}^5\Psi_G(i,j,k,l,m)$ is defined to be

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{G,m}^{ij,kl} & \Psi_{G,m}^{ik,jl} \\ \Psi_{G,\emptyset}^{ijm,klm} & \Psi_{G,\emptyset}^{ikm,jlm} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 2.3. Let G be the deletion of a vertex from a 4-regular graph, so that G has $n-1$ vertices and $2n-4$ edges. Then the spanning trees have order $n-2$, which means that Ψ_G is homogeneous of degree $n-2$ in $2n-4$ variables. On the other hand, $\Psi_{G,K}^{I,J}$ has degree $\deg \Psi_G - |I|$. Thus in general the degree of ${}^5\Psi_G(i,j,k,l,m)$ is $n-4+n-5 = 2n-9$. Since it does not depend on the variables associated to edges i,j,k,l,m it is in $2n-9$ variables. Observe also that Ψ_G has degree at most 1 in every variable, so ${}^5\Psi_G(i,j,k,l,m)$ has degree at most 2.

Up to sign, this determinant depends only on the set $\{i,j,k,l,m\}$, not on the order. Brown and Schnetz prove the following:

Theorem 2.4. ([3], Theorem 3) *Let G be a primitive-divergent ([3], Section 1.2) graph with at least five edges $e_1, \ldots, e_5$. Then for all primes p, the number of solutions to the equation ${}^5\Psi_G(e_1,e_2,e_3,e_4,e_5) = 0$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is congruent mod p to $-c_2(G)_p$.*

3. Reduction

In this section we describe the two main constructions used in, among others, [3], [4], [5], for reducing the five-invariant to a variety of manageable dimension. We restate them in terms of projective rather than affine varieties, which is really nothing new. Then we explain how these reductions can be interpreted in terms of blowups of projective varieties along linear subspaces and generalize to obtain a reduction technique not previously used in this context.

Definition 3.1. Throughout the paper, the coordinates in an ordinary projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ will always be denoted $x_0, \ldots, x_n$. In weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(k,1,1,\ldots,1)$ the coordinates will be $t, x_0, \ldots, x_n$, and in product projective space $\mathbb{P}^m \times \mathbb{P}^n$ they will be $x_0, \ldots, x_m, y_0, \ldots, y_n$.

Definition 3.2. If $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ are homogeneous polynomials and q is a prime power, let $[f_1, \ldots, f_n]_q$ be the number of points on the projective variety defined by $f_1 = \cdots = f_n = 0$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If V is a variety, let $[V]_q$ be the number of $\mathbb{F}_q$-points of V.

Remark 3.3. Let V_P, V_A be the projective and affine varieties over $\mathbb{F}_q$ defined by $f_1 = \ldots = f_n = 0$, where the f_i are homogeneous polynomials. Then $[V_A]_q = (q-1)[V_P]_q + 1$, so the sequences $[V_A]_q, [V_P]_q$ contain the same information.

Definition 3.4. Let V, W be projective varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$. We say that V and W are *prime-similar* if $[V]_p - 1 \equiv (-1)^{\dim W - \dim V}([W]_p - 1) \bmod p$ for all but finitely many primes p. If W is a point we say that V is *prime-trivial*.

Example 3.5. The Chevalley-Warning theorem states that a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by equations the sum of whose degrees is at most n is prime-trivial.

Lemma 3.6. *Let V be a variety over $\mathbb{Q}$ smooth along the subvariety W, and let $\tilde{V}$ be V blown up along W. Then $\tilde{V}$ is prime-similar to V.*

Proof. Let p be a prime for which $V/\mathbb{F}_p$ is smooth along $W/\mathbb{F}_p$ (this excludes only finitely many primes). Then the $\mathbb{F}_p$-points of $V \setminus W$ are in bijection with points of $\tilde{V} \setminus E$, while E maps to W with fibres that are projective spaces of dimension $c-1$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$, where c is the codimension of W in V. Thus $\tilde{V}$ has $(p^{d-1} + p^{d-2} + \cdots + p)[W]_p$ more $\mathbb{F}_p$-points than V. □

Example 3.7. ([3], Lemma 16) Let V be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ ($n > 1$) defined by a polynomial $fx_0 + g$ of degree 1 in x_0. Then V is prime-similar to the subvariety W of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ defined by f. To see this, note first that $P_0 = (1 : 0 : \ldots : 0) \in V$ (here we use that $n > 1$) and consider the rational map from $\mathbb{P}^n$ to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ given by projecting away from P_0. Above every point of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} \setminus W$ there is one point of V. Above every point of W the number of points of V not equal to P_0 is p if $g = 0$ there and otherwise 0. Thus, if W has k points, then the number of points of V is congruent mod p to

$$(p^{n-1} + p^{n-2} + \cdots + 1 - k) + 1 \equiv 2 - k,$$

as desired.

We refer to this as *linear reduction*.

Example 3.8. ([3], Lemma 27) Let V be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by $fg = 0$, where f, g are both of degree 1 in the variable x_0 and fg is of total degree $n+1$. Then V is prime-similar to the subvariety of W defined by $[f, g]_{x_0}$, the resultant of f, g with respect to x_0. We call this *resultant reduction*.

Remark 3.9. Both of these reductions preserve the property of the total degree of a polynomial being equal to the number of variables, as well as that of the degree in any one variable being at most 2.

Now we will reinterpret linear reduction in terms of a standard geometric construction: this will show us how to generalize it. The variety $fx_0 + g = 0$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is singular at $(1 : 0 : \ldots : 0)$. If we blow up this point, the exceptional divisor is defined by $f = 0$. On the other hand, the fibres of the projection to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ are points or lines, so the blowup is prime-trivial and the original variety is prime-similar to the exceptional divisor. More generally, we consider varieties singular along a coordinate plane rather than at a point.

Definition 3.10. Let V be a hypersurface of degree $n+1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$, defined by $f = 0$. Suppose that, for some k with $1 \leq k \leq n$, we have $f \in (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1})^k$. We then define the *subspace reduction* $L(V, k)$ of V by $x_0, \ldots, x_{k-1}$ as the exceptional divisor in the blowup of V along $x_0 = \ldots = x_{k-1} = 0$. It is naturally a hypersurface of bidegree $(n-k+1, k)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n-k} \times \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$.

Of course the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points is not changed by permuting the variables, so we may apply subspace reduction to any set of variables, as long as every monomial satisfies the degree condition. The restriction to $x_0, \ldots, x_{k-1}$ is made only to simplify the notation.

Proposition 3.11. *The subspace reduction of V is prime-similar to V.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{V}$ be the blowup. Since $[\tilde{V}]_p = [V_p] - [x_0, \ldots, x_{k-1}]_p + [L(V,k)]_p$, it suffices to prove that $\tilde{V}$ is prime-trivial. To do this, we will show that every fibre of the projection $\pi : \tilde{V} \to \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$ is prime-trivial. Since $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}$ is prime-trivial, this implies that $[\tilde{V}]_p$ is a sum of c integers congruent to 1 mod p, where $c \equiv 1 \bmod p$. It follows that $[\tilde{V}]_p \equiv 1 \bmod p$, i.e., that $\tilde{V}$ is prime-trivial.

Let ρ be the rational map $\mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^{k-1}$ defined by $(x_0 : x_1 : \ldots : x_{k-1})$. Let $P = (c_0 : c_1 : \cdots : c_{k-1})$ be a point of $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}$. Then $\pi^{-1}(P)$ (viewed naturally inside $\mathbb{P}^n$ identified with $\mathbb{P}^n \times P$) is equal to $\rho^{-1}(P) \cap V$ with the component supported on $x_0 = \ldots = x_{k-1}$ removed. There are two possibilities.

(1) If $\rho^{-1}(P) \cap V = \rho^{-1}(P)$, then it is a linear subspace of codimension $k-1$, which is certainly prime-trivial.
(2) If not, consider the subscheme of V defined by the $k-1$ linear equations that define P. If $c_i \neq 0$, then on this subscheme all of the $x_0, \ldots, x_{k-1}$ are constant multiples of x_i. When we substitute for them in the polynomial defining V, the result is a multiple of x_i^k. This corresponds to the component supported on $x_0 = \ldots = x_{k-1}$, so we divide out the highest power of x_i^k to obtain a polynomial in $x_k, \ldots, x_n$ defining the fibre of π. This polynomial in $n-k+1$ variables has degree at most $n-k$, so the hypothesis of the Chevalley-Warning theorem holds and the fibre is prime-trivial.

□

Remark 3.12. This proposition is our justification for considering graph hypersurfaces and their reductions as projective rather than affine varieties. The blowup of a projective variety is projective but that of an affine variety is not affine, so this construction is more natural for projective varieties.

Note also that this proposition does not contradict Lemma 3.6, because V is singular along $x_0 = \ldots = x_{k-1} = 0$.

We now describe the case $k = 2$ in more detail. Let V be defined by $f = 0$ with $f \in (x_0, x_1)^2$. Then $L(V,2)$ is a prime-similar variety in $\mathbb{P}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Define c_0, c_1, c_2 to be polynomials that satisfy $f = c_0 x_0^2 + c_1 x_0 x_1 + c_2 x_1^2$ (for our purposes any such representation will do, but for uniqueness one may choose c_0 respectively c_2 not to depend on x_1 resp. x_0). The fibre above $P \in V(f)$ is a single point if x_0, x_1 are not both 0; otherwise it consists of all projective points $(y_0 : y_1)$ satisfying $c_0 y_0^2 + c_1 y_0 y_1 + c_2 y_1^2 = 0$.

Proposition 3.13. *Suppose that $c_1^2 - 4c_0c_2 = gh$, where $\deg g = n-2$. Then $L(V,2)$ is birationally equivalent and prime-similar to a hypersurface H_n of degree n in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.*

Proof. Let $W = \mathbb{P}(n-1, 1, 1 \ldots, 1)$ be a weighted projective space of dimension $n-1$. Let the c_i' be obtained from the c_i by evaluating them at $(0, 0, x_0, \ldots, x_{n-2})$, where the x_i are now the weight-1 coordinates of W. Observe that the degree of the c_i' is $n-1$, by our hypotheses on the monomials making up P. We show that $L(V,2)$

is birationally equivalent and prime-similar to the subvariety V_N of W defined by $t^2 + c_1' t + c_0' c_2' = 0$.

Indeed, let us fix a point in $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ and consider the points above it in each variety. In V_N, they correspond to roots of $t^2 + c_1 t + c_0 c_2$; in $L(V, 2)$, to points satisfying $c_0 y_0^2 + c_1 y_0 y_1 + c_2 y_1^2$. These are in bijection unless $c_0 = c_1 = c_2$, in which case there is 1 of the former and $p + 1$ of the latter, which numbers are congruent mod p. Finally, the point $(1 : 0 : 0 : \ldots : 0)$ is not on V_N.

To go from V_N to the desired hypersurface, we complete the square in t, which can only affect the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points if $p = 2$. This gives us $t^2 - gh = 0$ in W, which we will still call V_N. Then H_n is defined by $v_0^2 g - h = 0$. There is an obvious invertible rational map $H_n \cdots \to V_N$ taking $(v_0 : \ldots : v_{n-1})$ to $(v_0 g : v_1 : \ldots : v_{n-1})$. This map is defined everywhere except at $(1 : 0 : \ldots : 0)$, which is blown up to $v_0 = g = 0$. The inverse map can be given by two sets of equations

$$(v_0 : g v_1 : \ldots : g v_{n-1}), \quad (h : v_0 v_1 : \ldots : v_0 v_{n-1})$$

and has base locus $v_0 = g = h = 0$. So the points of H_n consist of $(1 : 0 : \ldots : 0)$, one point for every point of V_N outside $v_0 = g = 0$, and either 0 or p points for every point of $v_0 = g = 0$. Applying the Chevalley-Warning theorem to $v_0 = g = 0$ we see that the numbers of points on the two varieties are congruent mod p. □

Remark 3.14. This construction along with several related ones is given in [19], Section 1.7.2 for double covers of $\mathbb{P}^3$ branched along the union of eight planes, but the general case was known earlier. The proposition above is often, though not always, applicable to the subspace reduction along a line of a variety arising from a graph polynomial.

Definition 3.15. When $k = 2$ we refer to the subspace reduction as the *normal reduction*, because the blowup can be interpreted as the normalization of V along the divisor $x_0 = x_1 = 0$.

Similarly, in the case $k = n - 1$, we obtain a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^{n-2}$ which can be viewed as a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^{n-2}$, and if the branch locus is suitably reducible we can revert to a hypersurface of degree n in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$: for some examples of this see sections 8.2, 8.4, 8.5. If neither $k - 1$ nor $n - k$ is 0 or 1, it is not clear how to continue the reduction process, but that case does not arise in this paper.

The resultant reduction cannot immediately be described in terms of the subspace reduction, unless one of the factors is linear, but it is certainly related. Consider the variety in $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by $(f_1 x_0 + f_0)(g_1 x_0 + g_0) = 0$, where f_i, g_i do not depend on x and f_1, g_1 have no common factor. It is prime-similar to the variety defined by $f_1 x_0 + f_0 = g_1 x_0 + g_0$ (even this can be seen by blowing up along the intersection). On this variety, we again blow up $(1 : 0 : \ldots : 0)$, obtaining an exceptional divisor defined by $f_1 = g_1 = 0$. If $\deg(f_1 x_0 + f_0)(g_1 x_0 + g_0) = n + 1$, then $\deg f_1 + \deg g_1 = n - 1$, so the exceptional divisor is subject to the Chevalley-Warning theorem. The projection from the blowup to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ again has linear fibres and its image is defined by the elimination ideal for $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ of the ideal $(f_1 x_0 + f_0, g_1 x_0 + g_0)$, which (since these have no common factor) is generated by the resultant $f_1 g_0 - f_0 g_1$.

4. The modular form of weight 4 and level 13

In this section we will describe a rigid Calabi-Yau threefold for which the representation on H^3 gives the unique newform of level 4 and weight 13 with rational eigenvalues. By considering special elements of families containing this threefold we will also realize newforms of level 78 and, apparently, 390.

We start from the graph labeled $(4, 13)$ in [4], Figure 5. This is #243 in the list of 4-regular graphs on 11 vertices produced by `genreg` [18], whose numbering of the vertices we use in place of that of [4]. By applying the reductions of section 3 to its graph polynomial we will produce the desired threefold.



FIGURE 1. A graph for which the c_p are congruent mod p to the eigenvalues of the newform of weight 4 and level 13.

We first delete vertex 1, then take the 5-invariant for the edges

$$(2,3),(2,6),(2,7),(3,9),(6,7),$$

and then we apply linear or resultant reduction to the edges

$$(3,8),(4,6),(5,10),(4,5),(4,8),(5,11),(9,11)$$

in that order. This done, we have a polynomial of degree 6 in 6 variables to which we can apply normal reduction, since it defines a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^5$ singular along the 3-plane $x_1 = x_2 = 0$. Next we use Proposition 3.13 to obtain a quintic Q_1 in $\mathbb{P}^4$. It is defined by the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} &- x_0^2x_1x_2x_3/4 + x_1x_2^3x_3 - 1x_0^2x_1x_3^2/4 + x_1x_2^2x_3^2 - 4x_1^2x_2^2x_4 - 4x_1x_2^3x_4 \\ &\quad - x_0^2x_1x_3x_4/4 - x_0^2x_2x_3x_4/4 - 4x_1^2x_2x_3x_4 - 3x_1x_2^2x_3x_4 + x_2^3x_3x_4 \\ &\quad - x_0^2x_3^2x_4/4 + x_2^2x_3^2x_4 - 4x_1^2x_2x_4^2 - 4x_1x_2^2x_4^2 - 4x_1^2x_3x_4^2 - 4x_1x_2x_3x_4^2 \end{aligned}$$

and we know that $[Q_1]_p \equiv [H]_p/p^2 \bmod p$, where H is the hypersurface defined by the graph polynomial of G.

Remark 4.1. We expect that Q_1 is birational to a rigid Calabi-Yau threefold, So, in view of [20], Corollary 1.14, it is unsurprising that the codimension-2 components of the singular locus are lines of compound du Val (cdV) singularities. Of these, 10 are of type A_1 and 2 of type A_3. Some of the codimension-3 components are embedded points where these specialize to compound A_2 and A_4 singularities. However, the singularities where the components meet are not easy to deal with directly.

The most difficult singular points are those in the support of components of the singular subscheme whose multiplicity is large. We would like to blow these up; however, it is impractical to work in the product of projective spaces that would result. To improve our model, we will use a map defined by the linear system

of quadrics vanishing along the reduced subschemes of certain components of the singular subscheme. Namely, consider the map $\mathbb{P}^4 \to \mathbb{P}^4$ defined by

$$(x_i) \to (x_0(x_2+x_3) : x_1x_2 : x_1x_3 : x_1x_4 : x_2(x_2+x_3)).$$

A computation in Magma tells us that the map has degree 1 on Q_1 and that the image Q_2 is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0^2x_1^2x_2 + x_0^2x_1x_2^2 + x_0^2x_1x_2x_3 + 16x_1^3x_3x_4 + x_0^2x_2x_3x_4 + 32x_1^2x_2x_3x_4 \\ &\quad + 16x_1x_2^2x_3x_4 + 16x_1^2x_3^2x_4 + 32x_1x_2x_3^2x_4 + 16x_2^2x_3^2x_4 - 4x_1^2x_2x_4^2 - 4x_1x_2^2x_4^2 \\ &\quad + 16x_1^2x_3x_4^2 + 12x_1x_2x_3x_4^2 + 16x_1x_3^2x_4^2 + 16x_2x_3^2x_4^2 - 4x_2x_3x_4^3. \end{aligned}$$

The discriminant of the equation defining Q_1 with respect to x_1 factors into polynomials of degrees $5, 2, 1$. For Q_2, on the other hand, the factors have degrees $4, 2, 1, 1$, indicating that we have made progress. So we continue.

We map $\mathbb{P}^4 \to \mathbb{P}^4$ by

$$(x_i) \to ((x_0 - 2x_4)(x_1+x_2) : x_1(x_1+x_2) : x_1x_3 : x_2x_3 : x_3x_4).$$

Again the map is of degree 1 on Q_2, and the image Q_3 is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0^2x_1x_2x_3 + x_0^2x_2^2x_3 + 16x_1^2x_2^2x_4 + 16x_1x_2^3x_4 + 4x_0x_1^2x_3x_4 \\ &\quad + x_0^2x_2x_3x_4 + 4x_0x_1x_2x_3x_4 + 32x_1^2x_2x_3x_4 + 48x_1x_2^2x_3x_4 + 16x_1^2x_3^2x_4 \\ &\quad + 48x_1x_2x_3^2x_4 + 16x_1x_3^3x_4 + 16x_1^2x_2x_4^2 + 16x_1x_2^2x_4^2 + 4x_0x_1x_3x_4^2 \\ &\quad + 16x_1^2x_3x_4^2 + 32x_1x_2x_3x_4^2 + 16x_1x_3^2x_4^2, \end{aligned}$$

whose discriminant D_0 with respect to x_0 factors into polynomials of degrees $4, 1, 1, 1, 1$. Using Proposition 3.13 in reverse, we find that the hypersurface H_3 in $\mathbb{P}(4,1,1,1,1)$ defined by $t^2 = D_0$ is birationally equivalent to Q_3. Let $S_1, \dots, S_5$ be the components of the branch locus of the map $H_3 \to \mathbb{P}^3$, where where S_5 is the quartic. One of the S_i, say S_4, meets S_5 in four lines; the other three meet it in three lines of which one is double. The three lines are given by $x_1 + x_2 = x_i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 3$.

Remark 4.2. Write the equation of H_3 in the form $t^2 = q_1q_2$, where q_1, q_2 are polynomials of degree 4. Then the general fibre of the map $H_3 \to \mathbb{P}^1$ given by the two sets of equations $(t : q_1), (q_2 : t)$ is the union of two K3 surfaces, so by passing to a double cover of the base we represent a variety birational to H_3 as a family of K3 surfaces with base $\mathbb{P}^1$.

Definition 4.3. Map $\mathbb{P}^3 \to \mathbb{P}^3 \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^3$ by the identity on the first component and the projections away from the three lines $L_i : x_1 + x_2 = x_i = 0$ mentioned above, and let B be the image. Under the inverse map $B \to \mathbb{P}^3$, the points $L_i \cap L_j$ pull back to divisors, which we will denote D_{ij}. The inverse image of L_i then consists of D_{ij}, D_{ik}, and a third divisor, to be referred to as D_i.

Remark 4.4. For $i \neq j$ the three divisors D_i, D_{ij}, D_j meet in an ordinary double point, and these three points are the only singularities of B. There is an algebraic small resolution of B, because the image in B of the plane $x_1 + x_2 = 0$ that contains the three lines is smooth at the double points (we use the criterion of [19], Theorem 1.8). Since B is a complete intersection of three equations of multidegree $(1,1,0,0), (1,0,1,0), (1,0,0,1)$, the adjunction formula gives its canonical class as $(-1,-1,-1,-1)$.

Definition 4.5. Let the R_i be the images in B of the S_i. Let D_B be the fibre product $H_3 \times_{\mathbb{P}^3} B$. It is a double cover of B branched along the union of the R_i.

The following statements would be challenging to prove by hand, but are easy with the help of a computer.

Remark 4.6. The R_i are surfaces of which no three intersect in a curve. Except for R_5 which has three ordinary double points, they are smooth. They do not contain any of the singularities of B. Their union is defined in B by a single equation of multidegree $(2,2,2,2)$. Finally, R_4 is a blowup of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in three points, while R_1, R_2, R_3 are blowups of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in two points.

Proposition 4.7. *There are* 28 *smooth rational curves C_i along which the R_i intersect, and all of their intersections are transverse. No three of the R_i intersect in a curve, but there are* 7 *points where three R_i meet and* 3 *points where four R_i meet. These points are the intersections of three or six C_i respectively. These* 28 *curves include* 19 *that map to lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and* 9 *that map to points.* □

The conditions $h^{1,0} = h^{2,0} = 0, K = 0$ that are a part of the definition of a Calabi-Yau threefold (Definition 1.3) are easy to verify for B or for a resolution of its singularities.

Proposition 4.8. *Let T be a smooth rational threefold and let $\pi : D \to T$ be a double cover branched along a smooth divisor B of class $-2K_T$. Then $h^{1,0}(D) = h^{2,0}(D) = 0$ and K_D is trivial.*

Proof. The statement on K_D is an immediate consequence of the Riemann-Hurwitz formula $K_D = \pi^*(K_T + B)$. For the $h^{i,0}(D)$ we proceed as in the proof of [9], Theorem 2.1. We know that $h^i(\mathcal{O}_D) = h^i(\mathcal{O}_T) + h^i(K_T)$, as mentioned at the beginning of section 1 of [9]. But by Serre duality $h^i(K_T) = h^{3-i}(\mathcal{O}_T)$, and $h^i(\mathcal{O}_T)$ is a birational invariant which is 0 for $T = \mathbb{P}^3, i \in \{1,2\}$. □

We are now ready to prove:

Theorem 4.9. *There is a smooth rigid Calabi-Yau threefold CY_{13} of Euler characteristic* 98 *which is birational to the variety Q_1 obtained by reduction from the graph polynomial of the graph labeled* $(4,13)$ *in Figure 5 of* [4].

Proof. We have shown above that Q_1 is birational to the double cover of B branched along the union of the R_i. Numerically this is a Calabi-Yau; to show that it actually is one, we use the method of Cynk-Szemberg [9]. First we resolve the three singular points of B, obtaining a smooth threefold with $h^{1,1} = h^{2,2} = 7, h^{0,0} = h^{3,3} = 1$, and all other Hodge numbers 0. Then we blow up the fourfold intersection points of the R_i, which are smooth on B, to exceptional divisors E_j. When we do this, we increase the canonical divisor on B by $2\sum E_j$; on the other hand, we replace the four surfaces by their strict transforms, and their classes decrease by E_j. We thus preserve the relation $2K_B + \sum |R_i| = 0$. We also increase the Euler characteristic χ of B by 2, because we replaced a point with a $\mathbb{P}^2$. The four surfaces that contain the point see it replaced by a rational curve and thus their Euler characteristic increased by 1.

Then we blow up the 28 curves of intersection. Each time we do this, we obtain an exceptional divisor E_C which we add to the canonical divisor, but we decrease the classes of the two surfaces containing the curve by E_C, so again the relation

$2K_B + \sum |R_i| = 0$ is preserved. If the curve meets a third surface in a point, this blows up that point on that surface, increasing its Euler characteristic by 1. Also we replaced the rational curve, which has $\chi = 2$, by a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over it with $\chi = 4$. Finally, we take a small resolution of the double points above the three singular points of R_5, which are in the branch locus. (This may take us out of the projective category, but that does not matter here.) This adds 3 to the Euler characteristic of the branch locus and of the base. We still have $2K_B + \sum |R_i| = 0$, and now the base and branch locus are smooth. In light of Proposition 4.8, this shows that it is a Calabi-Yau.

The Euler characteristic of the base is now $16 + 2 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 28 + 3 = 81$. The branch locus originally consisted of surfaces whose Euler characteristics added to 42. However, we blew up 3×4 (for the fourfold points) $+7$ (for the threefold points) $+3$ (the singularities of the K3), so now we have 64. Their images in the blowup of the base are disjoint so the Euler characteristics add. Thus the double cover has $\chi = 2 \cdot 81 - 64 = 98$. □

Remark 4.10. The results of [9] are stated under the assumption that the intersection of any two components of the branch locus is irreducible. However, the arguments are entirely local, so this assumption is unnecessary.

Now we would like to prove that CY_{13} is rigid, in other words, that $h^{2,1}(R) = 0$. This could be done by a careful analysis of the curves where the R_i intersect, but it is easier to do it for the s_i. We start by introducing the configuration of lines in which the s_i intersect.

Definition 4.11. A $(4, 13)$-*configuration* in $\mathbb{P}^3$ consists of four planes $P_i (1 \leq i \leq 4)$ in general position and 13 lines $L_{ij} (1 \leq i \leq 4, 1 \leq j \leq \max(i, 3))$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) For all i, j, the line L_{ij} is contained in P_i and in no other P.
(2) The following are the maximal sets of lines that intersect in a point:

$$\{L_{11}, L_{13}, L_{21}, L_{23}\}, \{L_{11}, L_{12}, L_{31}, L_{32}\}, \{L_{21}, L_{22}, L_{31}, L_{33}\},$$
$$\{L_{11}, L_{41}, L_{44}\}, \{L_{21}, L_{42}, L_{44}\}, \{L_{31}, L_{43}, L_{44}\},$$
$$\{L_{12}, L_{22}, L_{43}\}, \{L_{13}, L_{33}, L_{42}\}, \{L_{23}, L_{32}, L_{41}\},$$
$$\{L_{12}, L_{13}\}, \{L_{22}, L_{23}\}, \{L_{32}, L_{33}\}.$$

(3) If the intersection point of one of these sets of lines is contained in P_n, then one of the lines is L_{nj}.
(4) The intersection $L_{44} \cap P_i \cap P_j$ is empty for all $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$.

Remark 4.12. It appears to be a coincidence that $(4, 13)$ can be taken as referring either to the weight and level of the modular form or to the number of planes and lines in the configuration.

Proposition 4.13. *The automorphism group of* $\mathbb{P}^3$ *acts simply transitively on the set of* $(4, 13)$*-configurations.*

Proof. Applying an automorphism, we take the P_i to be the coordinate hyperplanes, the sequence of which is stabilized by the diagonal subgroup of PGL_4. Then by condition 4, we rescale x_1, x_2 so that L_{44} is given by $x_0 + x_1 + x_2 = x_3 = 0$. Now L_{41} meets L_{44} in a point where $x_0 = 0$, since the point is also on L_{11}, so it must be

$(0:-1:1:0)$. It is not the line $x_0 = x_3 = 0$, so we write it as $ax_0+x_1+x_2 = x_3 = 0$. Similarly L_{42}, L_{43} are the lines $x_0 + bx_1 + x_2 = x_3 = 0, x_0 + x_1 + cx_2 = x_3 = 0$.

We take L_{11}, which is a line in $x_0 = 0$ through $(0:-1:1:0)$ which is not $x_0 = x_3 = 0$, so it is $x_0 = x_1 + x_2 + dx_3 = 0$. Similarly L_{21}, L_{31} are given by $x_1 = x_0 + x_2 + ex_3 = 0, x_2 = x_0 + x_1 + fx_3 = 0$. From the fact that any two of these lines meet it follows that $d = e = f$.

Now L_{13}, L_{23} pass through $(0:0:-d:1)$, the point on $L_{11} \cap L_{21}$. So they are respectively $x_0 = gx_1 + x_2 + dx_3 = 0, x_1 = hx_0 + x_2 + dx_3 = 0$. From the fact that L_{13} meets L_{42} we learn that $g = b$, and because L_{23} meets L_{41} it must be that $a = h$. Similarly L_{12} meets L_{43} and goes through the point where L_{11} meets L_{31}, so it must be $x_0 = x_1 + cx_2 + dx_3 = 0$, and L_{32} meets L_{41} and also passes through this point, so it is $x_2 = ax_0+x_1+dx_3 = 0$. Similarly L_{22} is $x_1 = x_0+cx_2+dx_3 = 0$ and L_{33} is $x_2 = x_0 + bx_1 + dx_3 = 0$.

Now L_{12} meets L_{22} in the point $(0:0:d:c)$, even though this has not been specifically required. But we also want this point to be on L_{43}, so $c = 0$. Similarly, by considering the sets $\{L_{13}, L_{33}, L_{42}\}, \{L_{23}, L_{32}, L_{41}\}$, we see that $a = b = 0$.

The last remaining piece of the automorphism group allows us to rescale x_3, and since x_3 always appears on its own or in the monomial dx_3 we replace x_3 by x_3/d. We now have no nontrivial automorphisms left and no parameters appearing in the equations of the 13 lines. To recapitulate, they are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&L_{11} : x_0 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0, L_{12} : x_0 = x_1 + x_3 = 0, L_{13} : x_0 = x_2 + x_3 = 0,\\
&L_{21} : x_1 = x_0 + x_2 + x_3 = 0, L_{22} : x_1 = x_0 + x_3 = 0, L_{23} : x_1 = x_2 + x_3 = 0,\\
&L_{31} : x_2 = x_0 + x_1 = 0, L_{32} : x_2 = x_1 + x_3 = 0, L_{33} : x_2 = x_0 + x_3 = 0,\\
&L_{41} : x_3 = x_1 + x_2 = 0, L_{42} : x_3 = x_0 + x_2 = 0,\\
&L_{43} : x_3 = x_0 + x_1 = 0, L_{44} : x_3 = x_0 + x_1 + x_2 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Using Magma we find that the linear system of octic surfaces singular along the 13 lines of the $(4, 13)$ configuration and the six lines $P_i \cap P_j$ has projective dimension 2. In fact, every such surface contains the union of the coordinate planes. Thus we consider a net of quartics obtained by dividing out $x_0x_1x_2x_3$ from the sections of this linear system. As a basis for this system, we may take

$$\begin{aligned}
V_0 = x_0x_1x_2x_3, \quad V_1 = (x_0 + x_1 + x_3)(x_0 + x_2 + x_3)(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)x_3,\\
V_2 = (x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3)(x_0 + x_1 + x_3)(x_0 + x_2 + x_3)(x_1 + x_2 + x_3).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.14. *Let V be a general quartic in the linear system spanned by V_0, V_1, V_2. Then the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^3$ with branch locus $Vx_0x_1x_2x_3 = 0$ has a resolution which is a Calabi-Yau threefold with $h^{2,1} = 2$.*

Proof. In general $h^{2,1}$ is the dimension of the space of infinitesimal deformations, but deformations of Calabi-Yaus are unobstructed. (For an accessible exposition of this result, as well as references to the original papers, the reader may consult [17].) So this is the same as the dimension of the tangent space to the space of global deformations. The $(4, 13)$ configuration cannot be deformed, and the octics singular along its lines form a $\mathbb{P}^2$, which has a tangent space of dimension 2. □

Remark 4.15. This can also be proved by computing an equisingular deformation ideal as in [8].

Thus, in order to find rigid Calabi-Yaus, we need to find octics in the linear system that have no deformations preserving their singularities. In other words, we need either a point in the $\mathbb{P}^2$ parametrizing the linear system corresponding to an octic with a singularity that cannot be deformed, or the intersection of two curves in the $\mathbb{P}^2$ corresponding to extra singularities. To classify these, we introduce an incidence correspondence $I \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^2$ such that $(p_3, p_2) \in I$ if and only if p_3 is a singular point of the octic corresponding to the point p_2 in the linear system. To be precise, let the linear system of octics have basis O_0, O_1, O_2, where $O_i = V_0 V_i$. Then I is defined by the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial \sum_{i=0}^{2} y_i O_i}{\partial x_j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq 3$.

Now, I will have a component of dimension 3 supported at $x = y = 0$, reflecting the fact that every linear combination of O_0, O_1, O_2 is singular along the line $x = y = 0$. The other 18 lines in the singular subscheme of every octic in the family will give rise to similar components. However, we are only interested in the components of I that map non-dominantly to $\mathbb{P}^2$. Of these there are 7, but the image of one of them is a point contained in several others, which is of no use to us. The others are curves C_i of degree $1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 4$, of which the quartic curve has one ordinary node and two ordinary cusps and is rational, while the conic has rational points. The octic corresponding to a general point of $\mathbb{P}^2$ (resp. a point on one of the C_i, resp. a singular point of $\cup C_i$) has $h^{2,1} = 2$ (resp. $1, 0$). The C_i are defined by the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0, \quad x_1, \quad x_2, \quad x_0 - x_1, \quad 4x_0x_2 + x_1^2 - 2x_1x_2 + x_2^2, \\ &x_0^2x_1^2 + 16x_0x_1^3 + 64x_1^4 + 2x_0^2x_1x_2 + 144x_0x_1^2x_2 - 128x_1^3x_2 + x_0^2x_2^2 \\ &\quad + 228x_0x_1x_2^2 + 96x_1^2x_2^2 + 104x_0x_2^3 - 32x_1x_2^3 + 4x_2^4. \end{aligned}$$

There are 11 rational points of intersection of two or more C_i, one of which is a singularity of the quartic; the quartic has two other singular points. Of these 13 points, the four with $x_2 = 0$ give octics which are multiples of x_3^2, so the double covers are not Calabi-Yaus. Seven of the octics have singularities that lead to canonical singularities on the double cover and so should give rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds. Indeed we find Hecke eigenforms of weight 4 for which $a_p \equiv 1 - [V]_p \bmod p$ in each case. Of these, the three given by the points $(0 : 0 : 1), (0 : 1/2 : 1), (0 : 1 : 1)$ split completely into linear factors and duplicate known arrangements of eight hyperplanes found in the table on page 68 of [19]. One of them, coming from $(-1/4 : 0 : 1)$, is the threefold we have been looking at, which appears to correspond to the form of level 13. The other three, given by $(-1/9 : -1/9 : 1), (-1 : 1 : 1), (-256/3 : -5/6 : 1)$, seem to realize the forms $78/4, 10/1, 390/5$ in the indexing used by Meyer. Apparently no known Calabi-Yau was previously conjectured to correspond to $78/4$, while there are known threefolds giving $10/1$. For $390/5$, the table on page 116 of [19] shows a nonrigid threefold; however, we have found a rigid one.

Remark 4.16. In addition to the rational points, there are three Galois orbits of nonrational intersection points, one each with points defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}), \mathbb{Q}(i)$, and the cubic field of discriminant 148. One expects the numbers of points on the Calabi-Yaus given by the first and third of these to be governed by the traces of eigenvalues of Hilbert modular forms over the respective fields, but it is not clear how to characterize the second one.

The octics corresponding to $(-64 : -3/2 : 1)$, $(-1 : 3 : 1)$ have isolated singularities of type A_2, D_4. The singularity at an A_2 point of the branch locus has no crepant resolution so there is no reason to expect a corresponding Calabi-Yau, and there may be no modular form matching the point counts. On the other hand, the singularity at a D_4 point does admit crepant resolutions in some cases. Both of these statements follow from a result due to Shepherd-Barron ([15], Proposition 5.1). However, no modular form has turned up, perhaps because the level is too large: the bad primes are $2, 3, 5, 23$, and new singular components of dimension 1 appear on reducing mod 2 or 3. Based on [19], Conjecture 6.3, we might expect the level to be of the form $2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot 5^c \cdot 23$, where $a, b \geq 1, c \leq 1$. If so, it is not unlikely that the form would be beyond the range of [19], Appendix C.

5. Counting points

In this and the next section we will prove that the Galois representation on H^3 of the rigid Calabi-Yau threefold CY_{13} constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.9 is isomorphic to that associated to the newform $f_{13,4}$ of level 13 and weight 4. In order to do this, we need to count the $\mathbb{F}_p$-points of CY_{13}. We do not have an explicit model for CY_{13}, so we will show how to relate its point counts to those of H_3 and count points there instead. Using a computer the following statement is easily verified:

Proposition 5.1. *For all primes $5 \leq p \leq 200$ we have $[H_3]_p = p^3 + 6p^2 - 15p + 1 - a_p$, where a_p is the T_p-eigenvalue for $f_{13,4}$.*

Remark 5.2. One could prove the relation $[Q_3]_p - [H_3]_p = 9p^2 - 11p$ for all $p \geq 5$ using the birational equivalence given in Proposition 3.13, but this seems unnecessary since the calculations needed here can easily be done on H_3.

We now relate the number of points on CY_{13} to that on H_3.

Theorem 5.3. *For all primes $p > 2$ the relation $[CY_{13}]_p - [H_3]_p = 43p^2 + 64p$ holds, where CY_{13} is the rigid Calabi-Yau threefold of Theorem 4.9.*

The proof will fill the rest of this section. Presumably the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points on CY_{13} is equal to $p^3 + 49p^2 + 49p + 1 - a_p$ for all p of good reduction; in light of the results of this and the next section, this statement holds if and only if there is a basis of $\operatorname{Pic} CY_{13}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

To begin, we pass from H_3 to the double cover D_B of the variety B defined in Definition 4.3.

Proposition 5.4. *For all $p > 2$ we have $[B]_p = p^3 + 7p^2 + 4p + 1$ and $[D_B]_p = [H_3]_p + 12p^2 - 3p$. If D'_B is the small resolution of D_B at the double points above those of B, then $[D'_B]_p = [D_B]_p + 6p$.*

Proof. The map $B \to \mathbb{P}^3$ is an isomorphism outside the union of the D_i, D_{ij}. These are all isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, so together they contain $6(p^2 + 2p + 1)$ points. There are nine nonempty twofold intersections: the six $D_i \cap D_{ij}$ are lines containing $p + 1$ points each, and the three $D_i \cap D_j$ are points. Finally, the three nonempty threefold intersections $D_i \cap D_{ij} \cap D_j$ are points. So the total number of points in the union is

$$6(p^2 + 2p + 1) - 6(p + 1) - 3 + 3 = 6p^2 + 6p.$$

These map to the union of three coplanar lines in $\mathbb{P}^3$, which has $3p$ points, so $[B]_p = [\mathbb{P}^3]_p + 6p^2 + 3p$ as claimed.

The next step is to compare D_B to H_3. On all six of the divisors, the function defining the double cover is identically the square of the product of a $(1,0)$-form and a $(0,1)$-form on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. So the double cover on a divisor consists of one point above each of the $2p+1$ in the branch locus, and two above each of the p^2 remaining points. In addition, on the intersection of two adjacent branch divisors, the function is the square of a linear form, and on the singular points it is a nonzero square. Further, one of the lines in the branch locus of a component misses the branch loci of the adjacent components, while the other one meets them in one point each.

Thus, of the $6p^2+6p$ points of the union of the D_i, D_{ij}, we find that $12p$ of them are in the branch locus of the double cover $D_B \to B$, while the rest pull back to 2 points each, giving a total of $12p^2$ points. Since these correspond to $3p$ points of H_3 above the base locus of the map $\mathbb{P}^3 \to B$, the claim that $[D_B]_p = [H_3]_p + 12p^2 - 3p$ is proved.

For the last statement, note that the three double points of B are not in the branch locus, so they give two double points of D_B each. On B, the small resolutions give rational curves with points over $\mathbb{Q}$, so $p+1$ points over $\mathbb{F}_p$. As already mentioned the branch function is 1 there, so each component pulls back to two components which curves of genus 0 with rational points. This means that we replace the 6 points with 6 curves having $p+1$ points each. □

Definition 5.5. Let $D_V \to V$ be a double cover of a smooth threefold with branch divisor R_V consisting of smooth components $B_1, \dots, B_k$. Let $C_1, \dots, C_l$ be the components of the intersection of two or more of the B_i. Assume that no curve is contained in more than 3 of the B_i, that no point is contained in more than 5 of the B_i, that the intersection of any two of the C_j is transverse, and that the relation $\sum_i [B_i] = -2K_V$ holds in $\operatorname{Pic} V$. We refer to the resolution of D_V constructed in [9] as the *Cynk-Szemberg resolution* of D_V.

Remark 5.6. The hypotheses on the B_i are those of [9], Theorem 2.1, except that we do not assume the $B_i \cap B_j$ to be irreducible (cf. Remark 4.10).

Before continuing with the proof of Theorem 5.3, we pause to explain how to count the points on a double cover of an exceptional divisor without writing down the double cover of the blowup explicitly.

Method 5.7. A double cover is defined by a branch function up to squares, so to find the double cover on the exceptional divisor we need to choose a branch function that extends to the blowup without being identically 0 or ∞ there. If we blow up a point, this is usually easy. For example, if the point is in $\mathbb{P}^3$, let it be $(c_0 : c_1 : c_2 : c_3)$ and let y_0, y_1, y_2 be variables: then evaluate the branch function at $(c_0 + y_0 : c_1 + y_1 : c_2 + y_2 : c_3)$ and discard all terms not of minimal degree in the y_i. The result is then the branch function for the exceptional $\mathbb{P}^2$ above a point. More generally, if we are on another threefold, then instead of adding multiples of $(1:0:0:0)$, etc., we simply add multiples of a basis for the tangent space at the point.

For the double cover $\tilde{D}_B \to \tilde{B}$ of the blowup of a threefold B along a curve C, we proceed as follows. Write down the blowup $\tilde{B}$ of B along C and choose a point P in the exceptional divisor E not contained in the branch locus. Then find a curve $C_P \subset \tilde{B}$ not contained in E and smooth at P. We know the branch function f for

$D_B \to B$, so restrict it to the curve C_P and use it to define a map $\alpha : C_P \to \mathbb{A}^1$ given by f/r^2, where f, r^2 are of the same degree and vanish to the same order at P along C. Because C_P is smooth at P, this map extends to P; it is not 0 or ∞ there, and the two points of the double cover lying above P are defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha(P)})$. On the other hand, write down a section s of a suitable linear system on E whose zero locus is the branch locus on E, and a form t not vanishing at P and defining a map $\beta : s \to \mathbb{P}^1$. The correct branch function must be a multiple of s, which has the correct branch locus, and must take P to a square multiple of αP, so we have determined it up to an unimportant square factor.

In either case, we obtain a double cover of a rational surface as the exceptional divisor on $\tilde{D}_B$, which for us will always be a rational surface. The points may be counted in either of two ways. One way is to parametrize the surface and explicitly determine the points blown up and curves contracted on the way from $\mathbb{P}^2$ to the exceptional divisor. Another, for the exceptional divisors above a curve, is to use the natural projection to $\mathbb{P}^1$. In our situation the branch locus will always consist of two sections and some fibres. The fibres in the branch locus are covered by rational curves mapping isomorphically to them. Where the two sections do not meet, the fibre is replaced by a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$ branched in two points, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$. Where they do meet, the branch function on the fibre is of the form cf^2 for a constant c and the fibre is replaced by two curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{c})$. The reduction of this mod p has $(1 + (c/p))p + 1$ points, as opposed to the $p + 1$ points of the fibre in the exceptional divisor on B.

We now follow the steps of the Cynk-Szemberg resolution of D_B, keeping track of the numbers of points at each stage. The first step is to blow up the fourfold points.

Proposition 5.8. *Blowing up each of the fourfold points on B adds $p^2 + 2p$ points to the double cover over $\mathbb{F}_p$ for all odd p.*

Proof. Blowing up a fourfold point on B replaces it by a $\mathbb{P}^2$, and the four surfaces contribute four lines to the branch locus, any two of which meet transversely. To find the actual constant, we evaluate the branching function at a general point of B infinitely near to the fourfold point. This shows that the double covers are obtained by extracting square roots of

$$4(u^2vw+uv^2w+uvw^2+v^2w^2), 4(-u^2vw-uv^2w-uvw^2), 4(u^2vw+u^2w^2+uv^2w+uvw^2).$$

Meyer shows ([19], p. 73) that the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points of the surface in $\mathbb{P}(2,1,1,1)$ defined by $t^2 = \alpha uvw(u + v + w)$ is $p^2 + \left(1 + \left(\frac{-\alpha}{p}\right)\right)p + 1$. When we change variables to reduce the double covers above to this form, we find that $-\alpha = 4$ for each of them. □

Definition 5.9. We introduce notation for the 28 singular curves of B. The 13 that map to the L_{ij} of the $(4, 13)$ configuration will be denoted $\tilde{L}_{ij}$, while the 6 that map to the intersections of two of the planes will be the P_{ij}. The 9 that map to a point in $\mathbb{P}^3$ will be called Q_i.

Theorem 5.10. *Let $\tilde{D}_B$ be the blowup of D_B along C, where C is a curve in the intersection of two of the S_i. Then for all $p > 2$ the exceptional divisor of $\tilde{D}_B$ has $p^2 + (2 + d)p + 1$ points over $\mathbb{F}_p$, where d is the number of points where C meets exactly one other curve in the branch locus.*

Proof. For each curve C we compute the branch locus and the branch function for the double cover of the exceptional divisor of the blowup of C in B. The following table describes the results. Here F_i denotes the Hirzebruch ruled surface with special section of self-intersection $-i$ and the classes are given in terms of S, F, the classes of the special section and a fibre.

Curves	Exc. div.	Branch classes	χ(branch locus)
P_{12}, P_{13}, P_{23}	F_0	S, S, F, F	4
$\tilde{L}_{ij} (1 \leq i \leq 3, 2 \leq j \leq 3)$	F_0	$S, S + 2F$	2
P_{14}, P_{24}, P_{34}	F_1	$S, F, S + F$	4
$\tilde{L}_{11}, \tilde{L}_{21}, \tilde{L}_{31}$	F_1	$F, S + F, S + 2F$	2
Q_i	F_1	$F, S + F, S + 2F$	2
$\tilde{L}_{41}, \tilde{L}_{42}, \tilde{L}_{43}$	F_1	$S + F, S + 3F$	1
$\tilde{L}_{44}$	F_3	$S + 3F, S + 3F$	1

TABLE 1. Exceptional divisor and branch locus above the intersection of two components of the branch locus of $D_B \to B$.

Every double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ branched along two fibres and two sections is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, and thus has $p^2 + 2p + 1$ points over $\mathbb{F}_p$ for all p. Also, these curves do not contain any point where exactly two of the 28 curves intersect.

A double cover of F_1 branched along curves of class $S, F, S + F$ is the blowup of the cone over a smooth conic at the vertex. This is F_2, which has $p^2 + 2p + 1$ points.

In the other cases, we apply Method 5.7 to construct the double covers. To count their points, we check that the variety is birational to $\mathbb{P}^2$ in such a way that only curves that are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and have good reduction at all odd primes are blown up or down (otherwise the number of points would not always change by dp).

For example, for L_{12}, we find that the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is defined by

$$t^2 = -x_1^2 y_1 y_1 - x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2 + x_2^2 y_2^2 / 4.$$

Embedding $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ into $\mathbb{P}^3$ by the Segre embedding and pulling back, we get the surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ defined by

$$x_1^2 + x_2 x_3 + x_2 x_5 - x_5^2/4 = x_3 x_4 - x_2 x_5 = 0.$$

Projecting away from the singular point $(0 : -1 : 0 : 1 : 0)$ gives a birational equivalence with the smooth quadric $y_1^2 + y_2 y_3 - y_4^2/4$, which has $p^2 + 2p + 1$ points over $\mathbb{F}_p$ for all odd p. This blows up the base point to a smooth rational curve and contracts three smooth rational curves meeting in the base point to the points $(-1/2 : 0 : -1 : 1), (1/2 : 0 : -1 : 1), (0 : 1 : 0 : 0)$, so the number of points on the surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ is $2p$ more than that of the quadric, which is $p^2 + 4p + 1$ as claimed.

The other cases are similar. Rather than working directly with the double cover of F_1 or F_3, it is easier to map to $\mathbb{P}^2$ and restore the contribution of the inverse image of the exceptional divisor at the end. If the branch locus meets the exceptional divisor in two distinct points, it is covered by a $\mathbb{P}^1$ which has $p + 1$ points. If the two points coincide, then there are $2p+1$ if the branch function restricts to a square, as is true in all cases here. □

Definition 5.11. Let B_f be B blown up on the fourfold points and let D_{B_f} be its double cover. To avoid notational clutter, we will use C, S_i, etc. equally to refer to curves and surfaces in B, D_B and their strict transforms in B_f, D_{B_f}.

So far we have described the result of blowing up a single curve on D_{B_f}; now we need to understand what happens when the curves are blown up in order. In general, let $D_T \to T$ be a double cover of a threefold branched along some surfaces S_i. Let C be a component of $S_1 \cap S_2$, and suppose that C is smooth of genus g, meets all other components of the intersection of two S_i transversely, and is disjoint from the singular loci of the S_i and all intersections of four of the S_i. Let $\tilde{D}_T, \tilde{T}$ be the blowups of D_T, T along C, with exceptional divisors E_{D_T}, E_T, and let $\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2$ be the strict transforms of S_1, S_2. Let $E_i = E_{D_T} \cap \tilde{S}_i (i \in \{1, 2\})$. Then $\tilde{D}_T$ is a double cover of $\tilde{T}$, as in [9], proof of Theorem 2.1, case (d), and the branch locus is the strict transform of that of $D_T \to T$. Moreover, our assumptions ensure that E_T is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over C and that the E_i are sections. If we restrict $\tilde{D}_T \to \tilde{T}$ to a double cover $E_{D_T} \to E_T$, the branch locus consists of the E_i together with the fibres where C meets another S_i.

Proposition 5.12. *With the notation of the previous paragraph, let $d = \#(E_1 \cap E_2)$. Then the Euler characteristic of E_T is $2(2-2g)$, while that of E_D is $2(2-2g)+d$.*

Proof. Since E_T is the exceptional divisor of a blowup of T along a smooth curve of genus g, it is a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle and hence has Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathbb{P}^1)\chi(C_g) = 2(2-2g)$. To calculate $\chi(E_D)$, we recall the formula $\chi(E_D) = 2\chi(E_T) - \chi(B)$, where B is the branch locus, which is valid for any double cover and easily seen from topology. Here we have $\chi(E_1 \cup E_2) = 2(2-2g) - d$. But B is obtained from $E_1 \cup E_2$ by adding fibres, which are $\mathbb{P}^1$ with two points deleted and have Euler characteristic 0, so $\chi(B) = 2(2-2g) - d$ as well and $\chi(E_D) = 2(2-2g+d)$. □

Proposition 5.13. *With the same notation as before, let S_1, S_2 be components of the branch locus of $D \to T$, and let C_1, C_2 be components of $S_1 \cap S_2$ meeting transversely in a point P on no other curve in the branch locus. Let D_{12} be D blown up along C_1 and then C_2 and let the exceptional divisors be E_{11}, E_{12}. Let D_2 be D blown up along C_2, and let the exceptional divisor be E_2. Suppose that the Picard group of E_2 is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, for all primes q of good reduction, we have $[E_2]_q - [E_{12}]_q = q$, and the Picard group of E_{12} is also defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Remark 5.14. We showed in Theorem 5.10 that the hypothesis on the Picard group always holds for the resolution of CY_{13}. If it did not we would obtain the more general relation $[E_2]_q - [E_{12}]_q = (\alpha/q)q$, where the field of definition of the two components of the fibre is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\alpha})$. This will be used in a later example.

Proof. The normal directions to C_1 at P in S_1, S_2 coincide with the tangent direction to C_2, so $E_{11}, E_{12}, \tilde{C}_2$ all meet in a point $\tilde{P}$ above P. Thus in the double cover $E_D \to E_T$, the two points in the branch locus coincide in the fibre above P and so the fibre in E_D becomes two curves of genus 0 that intersect above the point. The same thing would happen above C_2 if we had blown it up first; but now the normal directions at $\tilde{P}$ in $\tilde{S}_1, \tilde{S}_2$ no longer coincide, as that would imply a more complicated singularity of $S_1 \cap S_2$ at P. Thus the two points in the branch locus in the fibre above $\tilde{P}$ remain distinct and we obtain an irreducible curve.

Note further that the components in the fibre above P in E_D are the only effective curves in their linear equivalence class, since they are components of a fibre of a conic bundle. This implies that they are rational over $\mathbb{F}_p$ for all p of good reduction, and in particular that each has $p+1$ points.

This applies equally well to the fibre above P in the blowup of C_2, had we blown up that curve first. On the other hand, the fibre above $\tilde{P}$ in the blowup of $\tilde{C}_2$ has only $p+1$ points: thus, p fewer than it would. Since the two blowups are isomorphic if these fibres are deleted, we conclude that the blowup of $\tilde{C}_2$ has p fewer points than that of C_2. The statement about $\operatorname{Pic} E_{12}$ is now clear, since this group is equal to the subgroup of $\operatorname{Pic} E_2$ generated by a section and all vertical curves other than those above P. □

We showed in Proposition 5.4 that $[D_B]_p - [H_3]_p = 12p^2$. The singularities of the branch locus consist of 28 curves with $24, 7, 3$ intersection points of order $2, 3, 4$ and 3 double points. In Proposition 5.8 we saw that blowing up the fourfold intersections adds $3(p^2 + 2p)$ to the count, while Proposition 5.13 together with Theorem 5.10 tells us that blowing up the curves contributes $28(p^2 + p) + 24p$. Finally, we take a small resolution of the 9 ordinary double points (2 above each of the 3 of B and the 3 of the branch surface S_5), which adds $9p$ points. We conclude that

$$[R]_p = [H_3]_p + 12p^2 - 3p + 3p^2 + 6p + 28(p^2 + p) + 24p + 9p = [H_3]_p + 43p^2 + 64p$$

for all $p \geq 5$. This completes the proof of theorem 5.3. □

6. Proving modularity

It was proved by Gouvêa-Yui and Dieulefait-Manoharmayum [14], [11] that rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ are always modular. In a subsequent paper [10], Dieulefait gives an algorithm to determine the Hecke eigenform associated to a rigid Calabi-Yau. However, his algorithm requires knowing a basis for the space of newforms of weight 2 and level $\prod_i p_i^{a_i}$, where p runs over the primes of bad reduction of the threefold and a_i is the bound on the valuation of the conductor of an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ at p_i. For Q_3, for example, this bound would be $2^8 3^5 13^2 > 10^7$, which is well beyond the range where such a basis can be calculated. So instead we use a method due to Serre and Schütt, inspired by ideas of Faltings[21]. We will present the proof carefully, following [21] closely, for the modular form of level 13 and its corresponding Calabi-Yau, and then indicate the necessary changes to apply it in the other examples.

Schütt assumes, following Serre, that we have two ℓ-adic Galois representations ρ_1, ρ_2 unramified outside a finite set of primes S, with the same determinant and for which the mod ℓ reductions are isomorphic and absolutely irreducible. In the applications, ρ_1 is the Galois representation associated to a Hecke eigenform of weight 4, while ρ_2 is the representation on H^3 of a rigid Calabi-Yau threefold (or more generally on $H^{3,0} + H^{0,3}$ of a Calabi-Yau which is not rigid but for which this is nevertheless a component of $H^3_{\text{ét}}$), and we take $\ell = 2$. For both representations, the determinant is the cube of the cyclotomic character [11]. Also, ρ_1 is unramified away from ℓ and the primes dividing the level, while ρ_2 is unramified away from ℓ and the primes of bad reduction.

Remark 6.1. It is easy to determine $\operatorname{tr}\rho_2(\mathrm{Frob}_p)$ for $p \geq 17$ by counting points. This is because its absolute value is at most $2p^{3/2}$, while the sum of the traces on the other cohomology groups is of the form $p^3 + n(p^2+p) + 1$. So if $4p^{3/2} < p^2 + p$ there is no ambiguity in n, and this holds for $p \geq 17$. Compare [19], Section 1.8.1.

We now let ρ_1 be the representation attached to the newform of level 13 and weight 4 and ρ_2 the representation on $H^3(R)$. Our goal is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *For all primes p we have $\operatorname{tr}\rho_1(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = \operatorname{tr}\rho_2(\mathrm{Frob}_p)$.*

We start by checking this for small primes:

Lemma 6.3. *For all primes $p \in [17, 50]$ we have $\operatorname{tr}\rho_1(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = \operatorname{tr}\rho_2(\mathrm{Frob}_p)$.*

Proof. We consult [19], Appendix C for the $\operatorname{tr}\rho_1(\mathrm{Frob}_p)$ and calculate $\operatorname{tr}\rho_2(\mathrm{Frob}_p)$ by counting points and using Theorem 5.3. □

In order to prove anything about ρ_2, we will need to know its ramified primes, which requires us to determine a set containing the primes of bad reduction of CY_{13}. These are contained in the set of primes of bad reduction of H_3, which in turn are a subset of the primes contained in an associated prime of the ideal defining the singular subscheme of an integral model.

The following statement is presumably well-known; a proof is provided here for lack of an adequate reference.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{Z}[x_0, \ldots, x_n]$ be an ideal and B a Gröbner basis for I. Let p be a prime that does not divide the leading coefficient of any element of B. Then no associated prime of I contains p.*

Proof. By setting $x = 1, y = p$ in [12], Exercise 15.22, we see that it suffices to show that $I = (I : p^\infty)$. Suppose that $f_0 = p^n x \in I$. Inductively choose $b_i \in B$ whose leading term divides that of f_i and monomials q_i such that $f_{i+1} = f_i - q_i b_i$ has leading term smaller in the monomial order than the leading term of f_i. By hypothesis p does not divide the leading coefficient of b_i, so p^n divides the leading coefficient of q_i. Since $f_0 \in I$, this process must terminate in 0 ([12], Algorithm 15.7). Thus we have written $f_0 = \sum_i q_i b_i$, where $b_i \in I$ and $p^n | q_i$. It follows that $x \in I$ as desired. □

Remark 6.5. The converse of this statement is false; the set of primes dividing the leading coefficient of an element of B depends on the term order, while the set of associated primes depends only on the ideal.

Using Magma to find a Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by the partial derivatives, we see that H_3 has good reduction away from $\{2, 3, 5, 13\}$, and then we check that the reduction mod 5 of the singular subscheme of H_3 is equal to the singular subscheme of H_3 base changed to $\mathbb{F}_5$, so that 5 is in fact a prime of good reduction. We conclude that ρ_2 is unramified outside $\{2, 3, 13\}$.

Our first task is to determine the mod 2 representations $\bar{\rho}_i$. Note that the trace of $\alpha \in GL_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is 0 if α has order 1 or 2 and 1 if α has order 3. Taking $\alpha = \mathrm{Frob}_p$, this says that $\operatorname{tr}\mathrm{Frob}_p$ is 0 if the residue field of a prime above p in the field cut out by $\bar{\rho}_i$ has order p or p^2 and 1 if the order is p^3.

Also, α has a fixed 1-dimensional subspace if and only if its order is 1 or 2. It follows immediately that a representation to $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is absolutely irreducible

if and only if its trace is not identically 0. This condition holds in our example, because both a_5 of the modular form and the number of points mod 5 are odd.

Definition 6.6. Let $F_3 = \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^3 - x - 2)$ and let F_6 be its Galois closure.

Proposition 6.7. *Both $\bar{\rho}_1$ and $\bar{\rho}_2$ are the projection $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{Gal}(F_6/\mathbb{Q})$ followed by an isomorphism $\mathrm{Gal}(F_6/\mathbb{Q}) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$.*

Proof. The tables of Jones and Roberts [16] give all extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois group $C_3, \mathcal{S}_3$ unramified outside S. We find that $\operatorname{tr} \bar{\rho}_i(\mathrm{Frob}_p) = 1$ for $p = 17$ and 0 for $p = 19, 23, 29$. The only choice for $\ker \rho_i$ consistent with this is $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F_6)$. □

Definition 6.8. Let σ_1, σ_2 be two Galois representations to $GL_r(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ with the same determinant whose reductions mod ℓ^n are equal for some $n > 0$. We define the *discrepancy function* $d_n : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{F}_\ell$ by $d_n(\alpha) = (\operatorname{tr} \sigma_1(\alpha) - \operatorname{tr} \sigma_2(\alpha))/\ell^n$. Further, we define the *discrepancy representation*

$$\delta_n : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to M_r^{\mathrm{tr}=0}(\mathbb{F}_\ell) \rtimes GL_r(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$$

as follows. Let $\mu(\alpha)$ be the matrix such that $\sigma_1(\alpha) = (1 + \ell^n \mu(\alpha))\sigma_2(\alpha)$. Then $\delta_n(\alpha) = (\mu(\alpha), \bar{\sigma}_1(\alpha))$.

Remark 6.9. These objects are defined in [21], Section 5 (the only difference is in the use of subscripts to facilitate the statement of Corollary 6.11 below), but Schütt does not give English names to them. Of course d_n is identically 0 if and only if the traces are congruent mod ℓ^{n+1}. It is difficult to work with d_n directly because it does not have useful algebraic properties.

Proposition 6.10. ([21], Section 5) *δ_n is a continuous homomorphism unramified at every prime where σ_1, σ_2 are unramified, and $d_n(\alpha) = \operatorname{tr}(\mu_\alpha \bar{\sigma}_1(\alpha))$. In the case of interest $\ell = r = 2$, the target group is isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2$, and $\operatorname{tr}(M_1 M_2) = 1$ if and only if the order of (M_1, M_2) in the semidirect product is 4 or 6.* □

Corollary 6.11. *Let ρ_1, ρ_2 be Galois representations to $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, unramified outside S, whose residual representations are equal and surjective and cut out the field F. Suppose that the traces of ρ_1, ρ_2 are congruent mod ℓ^n. Let E_F be the set of all extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois group $\mathcal{S}_4$ or $\mathcal{S}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2$ that are unramified outside S and contain F. Suppose that for every $E_i \in E_F$ there is a prime p_i of inertial degree 4 or 6 such that $\operatorname{tr} \rho_1(\mathrm{Frob}_p) \equiv \operatorname{tr} \rho_2(\mathrm{Frob}_p) \bmod \ell^{n+1}$. Then the traces of ρ_1, ρ_2 are congruent mod ℓ^{n+1}.*

Proof. Let F' be the field cut out by δ_n. If the traces are not congruent mod ℓ^{n+1}, then $F' \neq F$. But $F \subset F'$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(F'/\mathbb{Q})$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{S}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2$ mapping surjectively to $\mathcal{S}_3$, so as Schütt shows it is isomorphic to one of $\mathcal{S}_4 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{S}_4, \mathcal{S}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2$. In the first case, replace F' by the subfield fixed by $\mathcal{C}_2$. Now the Chebotarev density theorem gives us a prime q for which the order of Frobenius in $\mathrm{Gal}\, F'/\mathbb{Q}$ is 4 or 6 and hence $d_n(\mathrm{Frob}_q) = 1$. However, our hypothesis gives us a prime q' with Frobenius of the same order and $d_n(\mathrm{Frob}_{q'}) = 0$. Since $\mathcal{S}_4$ and $\mathcal{S}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2$ have only one conjugacy class of order 4 or 6, in fact Frob_q and $\mathrm{Frob}_{q'}$ are conjugate, a contradiction. □

Remark 6.12. The proof is the same as that used by Schütt to conclude that $\operatorname{tr} \rho_1 = \operatorname{tr} \rho_2$ if the traces of Frobenius are the same for the given set of primes. This slightly more precise statement is not needed in the present paper.

Proposition 6.13. *There are* 7 *extensions of* $\mathbb{Q}$ *with Galois group* $\mathcal{S}_4$ *containing* F_6 *and unramified outside* $\{2,3,13\}$*. For each one, at least one of* $19, 23, 41$ *has Frobenius of order* 4*.*

Proof. From the tables of [16] we find that there are 150 extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois group $\mathcal{S}_4$ unramified outside $\{2,3,13\}$. However, by calculating cubic resolvents we find that only 7 of these contain F_6. It is routine to verify the second statement, since the Frobenius in the $\mathcal{S}_4$-extension has order 4 if and only if the prime is inert in the non-Galois quartic subfield. □

Proposition 6.14. *There are* 14 *extensions of* $\mathbb{Q}$ *with Galois group* $\mathcal{S}_3 \oplus \mathcal{C}_2$ *containing* F_6 *and unramified outside* $\{2,3,13\}$*. For each one, at least one of* $17, 37, 43$ *has Frobenius of order* 6*.*

Proof. These extensions are obtained by adjoining a square root of the product of a subset of $\{-1,2,3,13\}$; the subsets $\{\}, \{-1,2,13\}$ are not usable because their products are squares of elements of F_6. The Frobenius is of order 6 if and only if it has order 3 in $\mathrm{Gal}(F_6/\mathbb{Q})$ and order 2 in the quadratic extension. The second statement is now easily checked. □

Combining the last two propositions with Lemma 6.3 and Corollary 6.11, we complete the proof of Theorem 6.2. □

7. Modular forms of level 78 and 390

As remarked at (4), the double cover of $\mathbb{P}^2$ branched along

$$x_0x_1x_2x_3(-Q_0/9 - Q_1/9 + Q_2)$$

appears to give a rigid Calabi-Yau threefold that realizes a twist of the newform 78/4, where the Q_i are defined in 4. The proof of this statement is very similar to that of Theorem 6.2 and so will not be presented in detail. Rather, we will only indicate the changes to the argument for Theorem 6.2 that are needed for the proof.

To reduce the level we change the sign. The expression $Q_0/9+Q_1/9-Q_2$ factors as a product of a linear and a cubic polynomial. The six components of the branch locus intersect in 23 curves and all intersections of these are transverse. All but one of them are lines. Also there are 9 points where four surfaces meet. As in Proposition 5.8 we find that each of these contributes p^2+2p+1 points to the double cover and p^2+p+1 to the base.

However, the situation differs from that of Theorem 6.2 in that the intersection of the cubic surface with one of the planes is a nodal cubic curve. It is unwise to blow up the node in $\mathbb{P}^3$, because that would destroy the good numerical properties of the double cover; the canonical divisor of $\mathbb{P}^3$ blown up in a point is $-4H+2E$, and we would have a branch locus of class $8H-2E$. We avoid this problem by blowing up the singular curve C_0. This produces a base for the double cover which is nonsingular except at one ordinary double point. When the blowup is defined as a map to $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^{10}$ given as the identity on $\mathbb{P}^3$ and the basis for the linear system of cubics vanishing along C_0 used by Magma, the coordinates of the singular point are

$$(-1/3 : -1/3 : -1/3 : 1 : 1/3 : 2/9 : 1/9 : 2/9 : 1/9 : 0 : 1/9 : -2/3 : -1/3 : 1).$$

It is easily verified that the tangent cone there is a quadric in $\mathbb{A}^4$ of determinant -3 up to squares and that the branch function takes the value 5 there up to squares. So

if $(5/p) = 1$ there are two $\mathbb{F}_p$-rational double points, and the resolution has rational tangent directions if and only if $(-3/p) = 1$. Thus, as in [19], page 24, taking a nonprojective small resolution of the nodes adds $2p$ points if $(5/p) = (-3/p) = 1$ and subtracts $2p$ points if $(5/p) = 1, (-3/p) = -1$.

Now we need to study the double covers of the intersections of the components of the branch locus. We start with C_0, which has $p+1-(-3/p)$ points mod p. Let E_0 be the exceptional divisor for the blowup of $\mathbb{P}^3$ along C_0: it has $(p+1)(p+1-(-3/p))$ points. The intersections of the four branch surfaces not containing C_0 give us six fibres twice each, so they do not contribute to the branch locus. The two surfaces that do contain C_0 yield sections. These surfaces are disjoint because we blew up their intersection, along which they were smooth. So every fibre of E_0 is covered by a rational curve, and the number of points is the same on the double cover as on E_0.

So, by blowing up and resolving the nodes we removed a curve with $p+1-(-3/p)$ points and replaced it with a surface with $(p+1)(p+1-(-3/p))+2(-3/p)p$ points if $(5/p) = 1$ or $(p+1)(p+1-(-3/p))$ points if $(5/p) = -1$. One checks the four cases to verify that this means we have added $p^2+p+(-15/p)p$ points over $\mathbb{F}_p$ for any $p > 5$.

For the lines, the calculation is much easier. The exceptional divisors above a line are all $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. For 7 of them the branch locus consists of two sections, and for 3 of two sections and two fibres, so for these the double covers all have p^2+2p+1 points mod p. The rest consist of 3 with branch curves of classes $F, F, S, S+2F$ and 9 with $S, S+2F$. These need to be checked individually, but in no case is it difficult to verify that the number of points mod p is p^2+4p+1.

We now have enough information to compare the number of points on the octic to that of the Cynk-Szemberg resolution. The 9 fourfold intersections add $9(p^2+2p)$ to the count. As in Proposition 5.13, the double covers of exceptional divisors above lines add $10(p^2+p)+12(p^2+3p)-12p$, since there are 12 double points. Finally, we add $p^2+p+(-15/p)p$ points for the resolution of the curve C_0, concluding that the resolution of the double octic has $32p^2+(53+(-15/p))p$ more points than the double octic itself. This is highly encouraging in light of the following calculation:

Proposition 7.1. *For all primes $7 \leq p \leq 200$ the number of points on the double cover $t^2 = Q_0/9+Q_1/9-Q_2$ is equal to $p^3+4p^2-17p-(-15/p)p+1-a_p$. Thus the number of points on the Cynk-Szemberg resolution is $p^3+36p^2+36p+1-a_p$.* □

The proof that the Galois representation for this threefold matches that of the modular form 78/4 uses the method of Livné ([19], Theorem 1.5) rather than that of Serre-Schütt, since the mod 2 representation is reducible. By calculation, the only $p < 50$ for which the modular form has a_p odd are $3, 13$. To prove that there are no more, we consider the cubic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified outside $2, 3, 13$. If the representation were reducible, it would cut out one of these extensions, and a prime of Frobenius degree 3 would have odd eigenvalue. We already saw in Proposition 6.14 that this is not possible, since there is such a prime < 50 in every such extension. Similarly, for the Galois representation attached to the Calabi-Yau threefold, we study the cubic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified outside $2, 3, 5, 13$. From [16] we obtain a complete list of these and verify that all 114 have an inert prime $p \in [17, 43]$.

To conclude the proof that the semisimplifications of ρ_1, ρ_2 are isomorphic, let

$$\mathbb{Q}_S = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{13})$$

be the compositum of all quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified outside $2, 3, 5, 13$. Clearly $\operatorname{Gal}\mathbb{Q}_S/\mathbb{Q} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^5$. It suffices to show that there is a set of primes for which the trace of Frobenius is the same for ρ_1, ρ_2 and for which every cubic polynomial on $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^5$ vanishing on their Frobenius images in $\operatorname{Gal}\mathbb{Q}_S/\mathbb{Q}$ vanishes on all of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^5$. In fact this holds for the set of primes in $[17, 157]$. □

Proposition 7.2. *The double cover $t^2 = -512Q_0 - 5Q_1 + 6Q_2$ has a rigid Calabi-Yau resolution. If the tables of* [16] *are complete for cubic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified outside $2, 3, 5, 13, 23$, it realizes the modular form $390/5$.*

Remark 7.3. We do not give a detailed proof because no new techniques are involved and the desired conclusion remains conditional. Given a list of extensions known to be complete, it would be easy to finish the proof that the claimed modular form is realized (assuming that this is true). At worst it would be necessary to calculate a few more a_p.

Proof. (sketch) The branch locus consists of the four coordinate planes and a quartic surface which is singular at the six points where two of the coordinate planes meet the plane $x_0 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$ and at $(\alpha : \alpha : \alpha : 1)$, where $\alpha^2 - \alpha - 1/12 = 0$. Blowing up the six rational singular points, we obtain a branch locus consisting of five components meeting in 22 curves, any two of which intersect transversely in a single rational point or not at all. The canonical divisor of $\mathbb{P}^3$ blown up in the six points is $-4H + 2\sum E_i$, where the E_i are the exceptional divisors. The class of the strict transform of the quartic surface is $4H - 2\sum E_i$, because the singularities are ordinary double points. The classes of the components of the branch locus are $H - \sum E_{i,n}$, where $E_{i,n}$ is the subset of the E_i of divisors above points whose nth coordinate is 0. Each E_i appears twice, so the class of the branch locus is -2 times that of the canonical of the base. Now the surfaces all meet transversely in curves that meet transversely and we can construct a crepant Cynk-Szemberg resolution. It is helpful to notice that $-512Q_0 - 5Q_1 + 6Q_2$ is invariant under permutations of the first three coordinates.

The double covers of the rational singular points have $p^2 + (2 + (-3/p) + (-2/p))p + 1$ points mod p; by the $\mathcal{S}_3$-invariance it is enough to check this for one of them. They replace 1 point of $\mathbb{P}^3$. We then blow up the three fourfold points of intersection, obtaining $p^2 + (1 + (-6/p))p + 1$ points above each to replace 1. The 22 curves of intersection fall into 7 orbits, tabulated below. Each one is a smooth rational curve (indeed, the strict transform of a line in $\mathbb{P}^3$) and so contains $p + 1$ points mod p.

In addition, we need to resolve the nonrational nodes by small resolutions. The field of definition of each node is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$, while that of the lines in an exceptional divisor above a node is $F_4 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{6 \pm 4\sqrt{3}})$.

Definition 7.4. For a prime $p > 3$, let $\alpha_p = 0$ if $(3/p) = -1$ or $(-3/p) = -1$. Otherwise, let $\alpha_p = 2$ if p splits completely in F_4 and -2 if not.

If $(3/p) = -1$ the nodes are not defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$ so the resolution does not affect the count of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points. If $(-3/p) = -1$, then one node contributes p and the other $-p$, so they cancel out. If both are 1, then we get $2p$ if p splits completely in F_4 and otherwise $-2p$. Thus by resolving the nodes we add $\alpha_p p$ points to our total.

Representative	Size of orbit	Number of points
$x = y = 0$	3	$p^2 + 2p + 1$
$x = w = 0$	3	$p^2 + 2p + 1$
$x + y = w = 0$	3	$p^2 + (1 + 2(-2/p))p + 1$
$y + z = w = 0$	6	$p^2 + (1 + 2(-2/p))p + 1$
$x + y + w/6 = z = 0$	3	$p^2 + (1 + 2(-2/p))p + 1$
$x + y + z = w = 0$	1	$p^2 + 2p + 1$
$x + y + w = z = 0$	3	$p^2 + 2p + 1$

TABLE 2. Curves in the singular locus of the branch locus of a partial resolution of $t^2 = -512Q_0 - 5Q_1 + 6Q_2$ and the number of points on the double covers of their exceptional divisors.

Finally, we account for the difference between blowing up curves individually and in order. This is not the situation of Proposition 5.13 but that of the following remark, because the Picard groups of the exceptional divisors are not defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. There are 12 points where exactly two of the curves meet, and at each of these it is a double cover defined by a function of the form -2 times a square that is replaced by an ordinary curve. So for each one we subtract $(-2/p)p$ from the count. In summary, the number of points on the Cynk-Szemberg resolution is greater than that on the double octic by

$$31p^2 + (31 + 3(-6/p) + 6(-3/p) + 18(-2/p) + \alpha_p)p.$$

Combining this with a count of points on the double octic, we find that for $p \neq 23 \in [17, 400]$ the number of points on the Cynk-Szemberg resolution is

$$p^3 + (32 + (-3/p) + 3(-2/p))(p^2 + p) + 1 - a_p,$$

where a_p is the Hecke eigenvalue for the newform 390/5. This suggests that there is a basis for the Picard group of the resolution consisting of 28 rational divisors, 2 divisors conjugate over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, and 3 pairs of divisors conjugate over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$.

At this point we need to assume that our lists of fields from [16] are complete in order to use Livné's method. Under this assumption, by going up to 97 we verify that the mod 2 representations are reducible and have trace 0. As before, let $Q_S = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{13}, \sqrt{23})$ be the maximal extension of exponent 2 unramified outside the primes dividing the level of the modular form and the primes of bad reduction of the Calabi-Yau.

It suffices to show that there is a set of primes for which the trace of Frobenius is the same for ρ_1, ρ_2 and for which every cubic polynomial on $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^6$ vanishing on their Frobenius images in $\operatorname{Gal} \mathbb{Q}_S/\mathbb{Q}$ vanishes on all of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^6$. In fact this holds for the set of primes not equal to 23 and in $[17, 353]$. □

Remark 7.5. It is striking that for all three of these examples there is a prime of bad reduction that does not divide the level of the modular form. This may be related to the phenomenon described by Schütt in [21].

8. Other graphs giving forms of weight 3 and 4

Brown and Schnetz give ([4], Figure 5) graphs for which the point counts match the modular forms of weight 4 and level $5, 6, 7, 17$, in addition to level 13 on which we have been concentrating up to now. They also give graphs for which the point



FIGURE 2. Graphs for which the c_p are congruent mod p to the eigenvalues of forms of weight 3 and level $7, 8, 12$.

count matches the forms of weight 3 and level $7, 8, 12$. In this final section we will apply the methods of this paper to these graphs. For the reader's convenience graphs isomorphic to those of [4] are displayed here, with the order on the vertices given by `genreg` [18].

Definition 8.1. We refer to the nth graph in the list of 4-regular graphs on k vertices produced by `genreg` as $G_{k,n}$.

8.1. **Weight 3, level 7.** There is very little to add to the discussion of this example in [3]. The graph is isomorphic to $G_{10,54}$. As in [3], we choose to delete vertex 3 and begin by computing the same five-invariant, which in our numbering comes from the edges

$$(1,2),(1,4),(1,5),(2,7),(4,5).$$

Instead of reducing the sequence of edges of [3], we reduce the sequence

$$(2,6),(4,8),(6,9),(6,10),(7,9),(9,10),$$

obtaining a polynomial in 5 variables to which subspace reduction for $x_0 = x_1 = x_2$ applies. The Segre embedding produces a surface of degree 8 in $\mathbb{P}^5$ with canonical singularities, one each of types A_4, A_3, A_2 and four A_1, and trivial canonical divisor. One can proceed as in [3] or as in the next example to show that the cusp form of weight 3 associated to the surface is of level 7.

8.2. **Weight 3, level 8.** We begin by deleting vertex 2. Lemma 55 of [3] does not apply here, but by computing the five-invariant for the edges

$$(1,4),(3,8),(4,7),(6,10),(7,10)$$

and the linear and resultant reductions for edges

$$(7,9),(1,3),(1,5),(3,9),(5,8).$$

we find a polynomial in 6 variables on which normal reduction can be used to obtain a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^3$ branched along an octic, which is

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1+x_2)(x_0^2x_2+x_0x_1x_2+x_1x_2x_3+x_1x_3^2)\times\\ x_0^2x_1x_2+x_0x_1^2x_2+x_0^2x_2^2+x_0x_1x_2^2+4x_0x_1x_2x_3\\ +x_1^2x_2x_3+x_1x_2^2x_3+x_1^2x_3^2+x_1x_2x_3^2.\end{aligned}$$

Now, this octic is quite unlike the ones discussed in previous sections: the quartic component is singular along the line $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, which is contained in the other two components. Likewise, if we convert the double cover to a quintic Q by means of Proposition 3.13, we find that every monomial in the equation defining Q vanishes to order 3 along the line $L : x_0 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$. So we apply Proposition 3.11 to obtain a prime-similar subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2$.

The Segre embedding makes it a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^5$ defined by the equations

$$x_1x_5 - x_2x_4, \quad x_0x_5 - x_2x_3, \quad x_0x_4 - x_1x_3,$$
$$x_0x_2x_3 - x_1x_2x_4 - 2x_2^2x_4 - x_2^2x_5 - 4x_2x_4^2 - 4x_2x_4x_5 + x_3^2x_4 - x_4^3 - 2x_4^2x_5 - x_4x_5^2,$$
$$x_0^2x_2 - x_1^2x_2 - 2x_1x_2^2 - 4x_1x_2x_4 + x_1x_3^2 - x_1x_4^2 - x_2^3 - 4x_2^2x_4 - 2x_2x_4^2 - x_2x_4x_5.$$

Projecting away from $(0 : 0 : 0 : -1 : 0 : 1)$ gives a birational equivalence with a surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ defined by a quadric and a cubic with only canonical singularities, so this is a K3 surface. It has seven singular points, four A_2 and three A_1, and there are 14 lines through one or more of the singularities. It is routine to work out the intersection matrix of the 11 exceptional curves and these lines. The matrix has rank 20, which proves that the graph is modular of weight 3, and when five rows and columns corresponding to generators of the kernel are deleted the determinant is 8, so the modular form is a twist of the one of level 8.

To prove that the modular form is indeed the newform of level 8, we pass to P, the projection away from $(0 : 0 : 0 : -1 : 0 : 1)$ described earlier, which is clearly prime-similar. We verify, using Lemma 6.4, that P has good reduction outside $\{2, 3\}$. Also, it has an A_3 singularity, three A_2, and three A_1, so the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points on a resolution is $12p$ more than on P. The trace of Frobenius acting on the two-dimensional component of $H^2(\tilde{P})$ is therefore $[P]_p - p^2 - 8p - 1$.

Next we check that the trace of Frobenius at p is even for a set of primes of good reduction and including one prime inert in every cubic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ unramified outside $2, 3$. From [16] there are 9 such fields and we need to go up to $p = 19$ to exclude them all. To apply Livné's method, we need to find primes of good reduction with all possible Frobenius elements in $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}))$ for which $a_p = \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{Frob}_p$; it suffices to consider the primes up to 23. This confirms that the modular form is that of level 8.

8.3. **Weight 3, level 12.** We start by reducing the projectivized graph hypersurface to a hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}^5$ by deleting vertex 3, computing the five-invariant for the edges

$$(1, 2), (1, 4), (4, 5), (7, 11), (8, 11)$$

and then reducing the edges

$$(1, 5), (2, 6), (2, 7), (4, 6), (6, 8), (6, 9), (8, 10).$$

Once that is done, we apply subspace reduction to the line $x_0 = x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$ and then Proposition 3.13 to obtain a quintic Q_{12} in $\mathbb{P}^4$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0^2x_1x_2^2 + x_0^2x_1x_2x_3 + x_0^2x_1x_2x_4 + x_0^2x_1x_3x_4 + x_0^2x_2^2x_3 \\ &\quad + 2x_0^2x_2x_3x_4 + x_0^2x_3x_4^2 - 4x_1^2x_2^2x_4 - 8x_1^2x_2x_3x_4 - 4x_1^2x_3^2x_4 \\ &\quad - 4x_1x_2x_3x_4^2 - 4x_1x_3^2x_4^2 + 4x_2x_3^2x_4^2. \end{aligned}$$

None of the reduction techniques described in this paper applies to this quintic in $\mathbb{P}^4$, nor to any other that can be constructed from the graph by the methods of

this paper. Let us consider the linear system of quadrics vanishing along the three lines in the singular subscheme of Q_{12} that contain the point $(0:1:0:0:0)$ and at the isolated points of the singular subscheme: a basis is

$$x_0x_2, x_0x_3, x_0x_4, x_1x_2 + x_1x_3, x_3x_4.$$

It defines an invertible rational map from Q_{12} to a threefold T which is of degree 4 and therefore prime-trivial. The components of the loci along which this map and its inverse are not defined are all shown to be prime-trivial by the Chevalley-Warning theorem, except that $(0:1:0:0:0) \in Q_{12}$ goes to the surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 + x_1 = {} & x_1^3x_4 - x_1^2x_2x_3 - 2x_1^2x_2x_4 + x_1x_2^2x_3 \\ & + x_1x_2^2x_4 - 4x_1x_4^3 - 4x_2x_3^2x_4 - 4x_2x_3x_4^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

which is therefore prime-similar to Q_{12}. Removing the linear equation, we get a surface S_3 in $\mathbb{P}^3$, which has singularities of type A_6, A_4, A_2 at $(0:1:0:0), (0:0:1:0), (1:1:0:0)$.

Remark 8.2. This construction could be described in terms of blowing up Q_{12} at $(0:1:0:0:0)$. The exceptional divisor consists of three planes and the blowup is singular along one of them. If we normalize the blowup along this plane and pull back the plane to the normalization, we obtain a surface birationally equivalent to S_3. But in the absence of a result comparable to Proposition 3.11 that shows how to choose the right blowup a priori, this is only a trick, not a method.

The quadrics vanishing at the first two of these give a birational equivalence with a surface $S_7 \subset \mathbb{P}^7$ with an A_4 singularity at $(0:0:0:-1:0:1:0:0)$, and projecting away from this point we obtain a model $S_6 \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ with two A_1 and four A_2 singularities. Applying Lemma 6.4 to the the singular subscheme of S_3 we find that S_3 has good reduction outside $\{2,3,5,7\}$, and in fact S_6 has good reduction at 7.

The sublattice of $\operatorname{Pic} S_6$ generated by the components of exceptional divisors, lines passing through a singular point, and lines introduced by blowing up the A_4 singularity has rank 19 and is fixed by $\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. To obtain a twentieth generator, we take the line $x_0 - x_1 = x_2 - \alpha x_3$ on S_3, where $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0$. It is not fixed by the action of $\operatorname{Gal}\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}$, so it is independent of the previous ones, and $\operatorname{Pic} S_3$ has rank 20.

All of the components of the irreducible divisors of a resolution $\tilde{S}_6$ of S_6 are rational, so the resolution has $10p$ more $\mathbb{F}_p$-points than S_6 does. So the trace of the representation on $H^{2,0} + H^{0,2}$ of $\tilde{S}_6$ at Frob_p is $[S_6]_p + 10p - p^2 - 19p - (-3/p) - 1$. To apply Livné's method, we first verify that the trace is always even. There are 32 cubic fields unramified outside $\{2,3,5\}$, but every one of them has an inert prime ≤ 19, so it is enough to check that far. To conclude, we show that the trace is equal to a_p for a set of primes > 5 whose Frobenius elements give a non-cubic subset in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5})$. We only need to go up to $p = 73$.

8.4. **Weight 4, level 5.** The graph corresponding to the form of level 5 is $G_{10,56}$. Lemma 55 of [3] does not apply to any of the graphs obtained by deleting one vertex from this graph, but we can still attempt the reduction. Using linear, resultant, and normal reduction has not yet led to varieties of dimension less than 4. For



FIGURE 3. Graphs for which the c_p are congruent mod p to the eigenvalues of forms of weight 4 and level $5, 6, 7, 17$.

example, if we delete vertex 2, take the five-invariant for edges

$$(1,3),(1,4),(1,5),(3,8),(7,9),$$

and then reduce by edges

$$(3,9),(4,6),(9,10),(4,7),(7,10),$$

we obtain a fourfold whose point counts match the newform of weight 4 and level 5 for small p, as claimed in [4]. Fortunately, Proposition 3.11 comes to our rescue. It applies to the set of variables $\{x_0, x_2, x_3, x_5\}$ to give us a double cover O_5 of an octic in $\mathbb{P}^3$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} t^2 =& x_2(x_1+x_2+x_3)(x_0x_1+x_0x_3+x_1x_3)(x_0x_1^2x_2+x_0x_1x_2^2+4x_0^2x_1x_3+4x_0x_1^2x_3 \\ &+4x_0^2x_2x_3+6x_0x_1x_2x_3+x_1^2x_2x_3+x_0x_2^2x_3+x_1x_2^2x_3+x_0x_2x_3^2+x_1x_2x_3^2). \end{aligned}$$

The following conjecture has been checked for $p < 200$.

Conjecture 8.3. *For all primes $p > 2$ the threefold O_5 has $p^3+5p^2-(9+(-1/p))p+1-a_p$ points over $\mathbb{F}_p$, where a_p is the eigenvalue for T_p of the newform of weight 4 and level 5.*

Most likely this conjecture can be proved by constructing a crepant resolution with $cp^2+(c+14+(-1/p))p$ more $\mathbb{F}_p$-points than O_5 for all p, for some suitable c, and showing that it is a rigid Calabi-Yau threefold.

Several examples of rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds realizing this newform are described in [19], Section 6.1.1. The Tate conjecture predicts that there are correspondences between these and the one described here; since the construction is apparently unrelated, it would be very interesting to find such a correspondence.

8.5. **Weight 4, level 6.** Similarly, for level 6, we start from $G_{11,241}$. We delete vertex 5, take the five-invariant for the edges

$$(1,2),(1,3),(2,3),(1,4),(4,8)$$

(to which Lemma 55 of [3] applies), then reduce edges in the order

$$(4,6),(2,6),(7,10),(7,11),(8,10),(9,10)$$

to obtain a fivefold for which the number of points mod p matches the newform of weight 4 and level 6.

At this point we have the good fortune to be able to apply subspace reduction twice. First we apply it to the variables $\{x_0, x_3\}$ to produce a hypersurface in

$\mathbb{P}^4 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of bidegree $(5,2)$, which is birational to a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^4$ branched over a threefold of degree 10. The branch locus has components of degree $4, 6$, so by Proposition 3.13 we return to a sextic hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^5$. This one satisfies the hypothesis of Proposition 3.11 for $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$. We find a double octic O_6 defined by

$$\begin{aligned} t^2 = -x_1x_2x_3(&x_0^4x_2 - 2x_0^2x_1^2x_2 + x_1^4x_2 - 4x_0^2x_1x_2^2 + 4x_1^3x_2^2 - 2x_0^2x_2^3 + 6x_1^2x_2^3 \\ &+ 4x_1x_2^4 + x_2^5 + x_0^4x_3 - 2x_0^2x_1^2x_3 + x_1^4x_3 - 12x_0^2x_1x_2x_3 \\ &+ 8x_1^3x_2x_3 - 6x_0^2x_2^2x_3 + 18x_1^2x_2^2x_3 + 16x_1x_2^3x_3 + 5x_2^4x_3 - 4x_0^2x_1x_3^2 \\ &+ 4x_1^3x_3^2 - 4x_0^2x_2x_3^2 + 12x_1^2x_2x_3^2 + 12x_1x_2^2x_3^2 + 4x_2^3x_3^2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha(p) = 1$ if $p \equiv 1 \bmod 8$ and 0 otherwise. We then have the following conjecture:

Conjecture 8.4. *For all primes $p > 3$ the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points of O_6 is equal to $p^3 + 5p^2 - (11 + 4\alpha(p) + (-3/p))p + 1 - a_p$, where a_p is the eigenvalue of T_p for the newform of weight 4 and level 6.*

This conjecture has been checked for $p < 200$, and much the same remarks on a proof apply to it as to Conjecture 8.3. Again in [19], Section 6.1.2, we find many rigid threefolds realizing the same form, and it would be interesting to find a correspondence. The term $4\alpha(p)$ is the number of primes of degree 1 above p in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8)$; this is explained by the quintic component of the branch locus having singularities at $(-2\zeta_8 : \zeta_8^2 - 1 : -\zeta_8^2 - 1 : 1)$ and its conjugates.

Remark 8.5. It is possible to reach dimension 4 by only linear and resultant reduction, but subspace reduction does not apply to these varieties.

8.6. **Weight 4, level 7.** From our point of view this is the most interesting of the weight-4 cases after level 13, since no Calabi-Yau threefold corresponding to the modular form of weight 4 and level 7 was known. (I thank Henri Darmon and Bas Edixhoven for pointing out that since the space of cusp forms of weight 4 and level 7 is 1-dimensional, the Kuga-Satake construction should produce such a Calabi-Yau threefold. It does not seem that this construction has been made explicit.)

The graph is $G_{12,1330}$. If we delete vertex 5, take the 5-invariant for the edges

$$(1,2), (1,3), (2,3), (1,4), (4,7),$$

and then reduce the edges in the order

$$(4,6), (2,6), (8,12), (11,12), (6,10), (10,11), (8,11), (9,10), (7,9),$$

we obtain a subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^5$ to which the normal reduction can be applied. Converting the resulting double cover of $\mathbb{P}^3$ into a quintic by means of Proposition 3.13, we then consider the linear system of quadrics vanishing on the singular points contained in components of the singular subscheme of degree greater than 8. It gives us a new quintic. Again we take quadrics vanishing on singular points in components of the singular subscheme of degree 8; this gives us a map to $\mathbb{P}^6$. The singular subscheme of the image has one component C_1 of degree 7 and three D_1, D_2, D_3 of degree 19; if we project away from the point in C_1 and the point in the correct one of the D_i we obtain a quintic that can be converted back into a double cover O_7 of

$\mathbb{P}^3$ with the equation

$$t^2 = x_0(x_0x_1x_2 + x_1^2x_2 + x_1^2x_3 - x_0x_2x_3 - x_1x_2x_3 - x_2^2x_3 - x_1x_3^2 - x_2x_3^2)\times \\ (x_0^2x_1x_2 + x_0x_1^2x_2 + x_0x_1^2x_3 - x_0^2x_2x_3 - x_0x_1x_2x_3 \\ + 4x_1^2x_2x_3 - x_0x_2^2x_3 - 4x_1x_2^2x_3 - x_0x_1x_3^2 - x_0x_2x_3^2 - 4x_1x_2x_3^2).$$

We find the formula

$$p^3 + 5p^2 - (11 + 3(-3/p) + (5/p))p + 1 - a_p$$

for the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points of O_7 for $5 \leq p \leq 200$, where a_p is the Hecke eigenvalue for the newform of weight 4 and level 7. As in the last two examples we conjecture this to be true for all larger p.

8.7. **Weight 4, level 17.** The graph here is $G_{12,1321}$. If we delete vertex 5, take the 5-invariant with respect to the edges

$$(1,2),(1,3),(2,3),(1,4),(4,7),$$

and perform linear and resultant reductions for the edges

$$(4,6),(2,6),(8,11),(9,10),(9,12),(8,10),(3,8),(10,12),(7,12),$$

we obtain a polynomial of degree 6 in 6 variables to which the normal reduction can be applied. Thus we obtain a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^3$ branched along surfaces of degree $3, 5$, and we can convert this to a quintic as in Proposition 3.13. We simplify this quintic by considering polynomials of degree 2 vanishing on the points of the singular subscheme contained in components of degree ≥ 8. This gives a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^6$ of degree 11, and projecting away from a singular point of degree 13 and one of the two of degree 23 produces a quintic that can be mapped back to a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^3$ with branch components of degree $1, 3, 4$. The associated double octic O is defined by

$$t^2 - x_0(x_1^2x_2 + x_0x_1x_3 + x_1^2x_3 - x_1x_2x_3 - x_2^2x_3 - x_1x_3^2 - x_2x_3^2)\times \\ (x_0x_1^2x_2 + x_0^2x_1x_3 + x_0x_1^2x_3 + 3x_0x_1x_2x_3 + 4x_1^2x_2x_3 \\ - x_0x_2^2x_3 - 4x_1x_2^2x_3 - x_0x_1x_3^2 - x_0x_2x_3^2 - 4x_1x_2x_3^2) = 0.$$

As found in [4], the point counts mod p match the eigenvalues of the newform of weight 4 and level 17. More precisely, for $5 \leq p \leq 200$, the number of $\mathbb{F}_p$-points of O is equal to $p^3 + 5p^2 - (11 + 3(-3/p))p + 1 - a_p$, where a_p is the eigenvalue of T_p on the newform 17/1. Again, the singularities are quite complicated and the Cynk-Szemberg resolution needed to prove this true for all p could only be constructed after several preliminary blowups. As in the cases of levels 5 and 6, examples of products of elliptic surfaces realizing the same newform have been found ([19], Section 2.1–2.2) and there is expected to be a correspondence between these and the threefold constructed here.

References

[1] P. Belkale, P. Brosnan. *Matroids, motives, and a conjecture of Kontsevich*, Duke Math. J. 116 (1) (2003), 147-188.

[2] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust. *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), 235–265.

[3] F. Brown, O. Schnetz. *A K3 in ϕ^4*, Duke Math. J. 161 (10) (2012), 1817–1862.

[4] F. Brown, O. Schnetz. *Modular forms in quantum field theory*, Comm. Numb. Theory and Phys. 7 (2013), 293–325.

[5] F. Brown, O. Schnetz, and K. Yeats. *Properties of c_2 invariants of Feynman graphs*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 18 (2) (2014), 323–362.
[6] C. Consani, J. Scholten. *Arithmetic on a quintic threefold.* Internat. J. Math. 12 (8) (2001), 943–972.
[7] S. Cynk, M. Schütt. *Generalised Kummer constructions and Weil restrictions.* J. Number Theory 129 (2009), 1965–1975.
[8] S. Cynk, D. van Straten. *Infinitesimal deformations of double covers of smooth algebraic varieties.* Math. Nachr. 219 (2006), 716–726.
[9] S. Cynk, T. Szemberg. *Double covers of $\mathbb{P}^3$ and Calabi-Yau varieties.* Banach Center Publ. 44 (1998), 93–101.
[10] L. Dieulefait. *On the modularity of rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds: epilogue.* J. Math. Sci. 171 (6) (2010), 725–727.
[11] L. Dieulefait, J. Manoharmayum. *Modularity of rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds over $\mathbb{Q}$.* In *Calabi-Yau varieties and Mirror Symmetry* (N. Yui, J. D. Lewis (eds.), American Mathematical Society, 2003), 159–166.
[12] D. Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry.* GTM 150. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
[13] N. Elkies, M. Schütt. *Modular forms and K3 surfaces.* Adv. Math. 240 (2013), 106–131.
[14] F. Gouvêa, N. Yui. *Rigid Calabi-Yau threefolds over $\mathbb{Q}$ are modular.* Exp. Math. 29 (1) (2011), 142–149.
[15] O. Iyama, M. Wemyss, *Singular Derived Categories of $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial Terminalizations and Maximal Modification Algebras.* Adv. Math. 261 (2014), 85–121.
[16] J. W. Jones, D. P. Roberts. *A database of number fields.* LMS J. Comput. Math. 17 (1) (2014), 595–618.
[17] D. Litt. *A short proof of the Tian-Todorov theorem.* Available for download at `http://math.stanford.edu/~dlitt/exposnotes/tian-todorov.pdf`.
[18] M. Meringer. *Fast Generation of Regular Graphs and Construction of Cages.* J. Graph Theory 30 (1999), 137–146.
[19] C. Meyer. *A dictionary of modular threefolds.* PhD thesis, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität in Mainz, 2005. Available for download at `http://ubm.opus.hbz-nrw.de/volltexte/2005/751/pdf/diss.pdf`.
[20] M. Reid. *Canonical 3-folds.* In *Journées de géométrie algébrique d'Angers*, (A. Beauville (ed.), Sijthoff and Nordhooff, 1980), 273–310.
[21] M. Schütt. *On the modularity of three Calabi-Yau threefolds with bad reduction at* 11. arXiv:`math/0405450v2`.
[22] M. Schütt. *CM newforms with rational coefficients.* Ramanujan J. Math. 19 (2) (2009), 187–205.

The Tutte Institute for Mathematics and Computation, P.O. Box 9703, Terminal, Ottawa, ON K1G 3Z4, Canada

E-mail address: `adam.m.logan@gmail.com`